



Effect of Molybdenum on Leaf Chlorophyll and Biomass Yield of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*)

Indu Uikey ^{a++}, N. G. Mitra ^{a#} and Jaipal Chhigarha ^{b†*}

^a Collage of Agriculture, JNKVV, Jabalpur, India.

^b Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Tikamgarh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i193751

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105424>

Original Research Article

Received: 25/06/2023

Accepted: 31/08/2023

Published: 12/09/2023

ABSTRACT

Molybdenum (Mo) is an essential micronutrient for plant. It plays an important key role in chlorophyll synthesis. The Experiment was conducted in Pot house at Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, JNKVV, Jabalpur during *Kharif* season 2014. The soil of experimental site belongs to Kheri-series and taxonomically comes under the order Vertisols, sub order Sterts, great group Haplusterts, sub group TypicHaplusterts. In all total 14 number of treatment combinations were tried under factorial randomized block design replicated thrice. The result revealed that variations in observation were found with treatment of *Rhizobium* inoculation. Seed treatment of Mo @ 0.5 g ammonium molybdate kg⁻¹ seed (StM₁) responded better, followed by soil application of Mo @ 1.0 kg Mo ha⁻¹ soil (SaM₁) as main effects. With higher dose of Mo as seed treatment (StM₂) exerted significantly negative effect. Whereas higher dose of soil application of Mo (SaM₂) was marginally higher over no application of Mo which was seemed to be the buffer action of soil to nullify adverse effect of the micronutrient.

⁺⁺ M.Sc. Scholar;

[#] Assistant Professor;

[†] Young Professional;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: jprp444@gmail.com;

Keywords: Molybdenum; cowpea; legumes; yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.] Walp.) is an annual legume crop. Even though it is a minor pulse crop, it is important to the live food of millions of people as a vegetable. Cowpea seeds are a nutritious component in the human diet as well as a nutritious livestock feed. Cowpea constitutes 56-66% carbohydrate, 22-24% protein, 5.9-7.3% crude fibre. Molybdenum (Mo) is an essential micronutrient for plants. It plays an important key role in chlorophyll synthesis. In plants, it is absorbed as MoO_4^{2-} . Molybdenum deficient plants exhibit poor growth and low contents of chlorophyll, ascorbic acid, soluble sugar and shows reduced leaf blade formation, inter-veinal mottling and chlorosis around edges and tips of older leaves [1,2] ultimately showing overall reductions in plant growth and development, expose the plant to susceptibility to pest damage, poor pod and/or grain development, low yield (Graham and Stangoulis, 2005).

Mo is necessary for the reduction of atmospheric nitrogen (N_2) to ammonia by nitrogenase. The symbiotic bacteria require about ten times more Mo for N_2 fixation than does the host plant (for protein synthesis). For this reason, Mo deficiency will commonly occur in legumes before it does in other plants, when grown in the same soil [3] Mo is also essential for nitrate reductase and nitrogenase enzyme activity [4]. The symbiotic bacterial enzyme nitrogenase is comprised of MoFe protein which is directly involved in the reduction of N_2 to NH_3 [5] during fixation process. Supply of Mo to bacteroids is therefore an important process and most likely a key regulatory component in the maintenance of nitrogen fixation in legumes that may influence plant growth [6].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Experiment was conducted in Pot house at Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, JNKVV, Jabalpur during *Kharif* season 2014. The site is at 23° 12' 51.4" North latitude and 79° 56' 50.1" East longitudes at an altitude of 428 m above mean sea level. The mean precipitation was 957mm and humidity 69 percent along with maximum and minimum temperature ranged between 26.6 °C to 32.6 °C and 12.7 °C to 24.3 °C, respectively. The soil of experimental site

belongs to Kheri-series and taxonomically comes under the order Vertisols, sub order Sterts, great group Haplusterts, sub group Typic Haplusterts and family fine and montmorillonite-Hyperthermic. The pot was of 15.1cm diameter and 11.9cm depth. Each pot was filled up with composed 1:1:1 ratio of thoroughly mixed soil clay sand and silt. The pot house experiment on cowpea (cv. Ankur gomti) comprised supplementation of molybdenum (as ammonium molybdate) via two different modes of application viz., seed treatment (@ 0, 0.5, and 1.0 g Mo kg⁻¹ seed) and soil application (@ 0, 1.0, and 2.0 kg Ammonium molybdate ha⁻¹ soil). The seeds of cowpea were further treated with carrier lignite based Rhizobium (Jawahar Rhizobium @ 5.0 gkg⁻¹ seed). In all total 14 number of treatment combinations were tried under factorial randomized block design replicated thrice. The crop in pots received equally the basal application of fertilizer N : P₂O₅ : K₂O @ 20 : 50 : 70 kg ha⁻¹ in the form of urea, SSP and MOP. Equal number of pre-germinated seeds was sown in each pot and after establishment of seedlings; equal number of plants were maintained. The plants in each pot were drenched with sufficient quantity of water managed weed free condition (2 weedings). The harvested at mature stage. The soil parameters viz. Soil pH, Organic carbon [7] Available nitrogen [8,9] potassium (Chapman and Pratt, 1961) [10] and molybdenum (Ellis and Olson, 1950) [11] were estimated by standard analytical methods. The data collected on pre harvest, post-harvest characters and chemical analyses were tabulated replication wise and analyzed by the method of analysis of variance. The treatment means were compared using least significant difference test at 0.05 level of significance described by Steel et al. [12].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect of Seed Treatment with Molybdenum and Rhizobium

The results revealed that seed inoculation with molybdenum as well as Rhizobium and PSB containing biofertilizers exhibited superior performance over untreated control and the combined seed treatment with molybdenum and biofertilizers emerged as best and recorded the maximum leaf chlorophyll content, nodule number and dry weight and root dry weight (Table 2 and 3).

3.2 No. of Nodules Per Plant

As a result, Rhizobium was found significant by 2.22 fold over the uninoculated plants. The data varied from 1.67 to 10.33 nodules No. plant-1 at maturity with uninoculated control and RH1xStM1xSaM1 and mean value 7.24. The main effect of seed treatment with Mo was also found significantly varying. StM1 responded significantly in increasing nodule numbers by 1.34 fold over untreated Mo plants. Seed treatment with Mo @ 1.0 g ammonium molybdate kg⁻¹ seed (StM2) exhibited no significant effect over that of Mo untreated plants. Soil application of Mo significantly increased No. of nodules plant-1. Soil application of Mo application by @ 1 kg Mo ha⁻¹ (SaM1) increased nodule No. 1.26 fold over SaM0. The best interaction was Rh1xStM1 exhibiting 2.69 fold, followed by interaction of Rh1xStM0, Rh1xStM2 and Rh0xStM1 by 2.47, 2.33 and 1.54 folds increase, respectively over Rh0xStM0 (4.0 No. of nodules plant-1). Mo supply in legumes increased molybdenum concentrations in nodules, improving N₂ fixation, development of seeds and other tissues. The found that Experiments with soybean and common bean have also shown that molybdenum fertilization enhanced nitrogen-fixing symbiosis through increased nitrogenase activity rates and larger nodule formation (Parker and Harris, 1977; Adams, 1997; Vieira *et al.*, 1998).

3.2.1 Nodule biomass

The result showed that the main effect of Rhizobium was found significant by 2.22 fold over the un inoculated Plants. The highest value was recorded 11.33 nodule biomass plant-1 at maturity with untreated control and RH1xStM1xSaM1 and mean value of 28.92. The main effect of seed treatment with Mo was also found significantly varying. StM1 responded significantly in increasing nodule biomass by 1.21 fold over untreated Mo plants. Soil application of Mo significantly increased nodules biomass plant-1. SaM1 was increased nodule dry weight 1.26 fold over SaM0. The interactions between RhxSaM were observed significantly higher over the lowest performing interaction Rh0xSaM0. The best interaction was Rh1xSaM1 by 3.64 nodule biomass per plant.

3.2.2 Plant biomass weight

The result found that effect of Rhizobium was found significant by 35% over the uninoculated Plants. The effect of seed treatment with Mo was

also found significantly varying. (StM1) responded significantly in increasing plant biomass by 12.69% over untreated Mo plants. Soil application of Mo significantly increased plant biomass plant-1. SaM1 increased nodule biomass 8.09% over SaM0. The best interaction was Rh1xStM1 exhibiting 51.75%, followed by interaction of Rh1xStM0, Rh1xStM2 and Rh0xStM1 by 51.75, 39.47 and 34.21% increase, respectively over Rh0xStM0. The best interaction was Rh1xSaM1 by 42.83% followed by Rh1xSaM2 and Rh1xSaM0 by 37.93 and 34.48% increase plant biomass plant. Effect of all the interactions between RhxStMxSaM were significantly varied with the interaction of (Rh1xStM1xSaM1) increased plant biomass by Rh1xStM1xSaM1 by 80 % followed by the treatment interaction of Rh1xStM1xSaM0 and Rh1x StM0xSaM1 by 75 and 72 % increase, respectively over the control (Rh0xStM0xSaM0).

3.3 Microbial Dynamic Characteristics of Soil

3.3.1 Rhizobium counts in rhizospheric soil

The results revealed that the Mo was had significant effects seed treatment. StM1 responded significantly in increasing rhizobial population in soil-1 by 1.23 fold over untreated Mo plants. StM2 exhibited no significant effect over that of Mo untreated plants. Soil application of Mo significantly increased rhizobial population plant-1. SaM1 increased rhizobial population by 1.15 log fold over. The best interaction was Rh1xSaM1 by 1.38 fold followed by Rh1xSaM2 and Rh1xSaM0 by 1.22 and 1.07 log fold increase. Molybdenum (Mo) is an essential micronutrient for vegetables plants and plays an important role in the photosynthetic and metabolic activities process because of its key functions in the chlorophyll biosynthesis pathway along with chloroplast configuration and ultrastructure [13]. The intact chloroplast configuration and ultra structure is highly correlated with the chlorophyll synthesis, photosynthetic efficacy and thereby vegetative growth of plants and grain yield (Liu *et al.*, 2018). So, these reports propose that Mo deficient conditions might reduce photosynthesis due to the fragile photosynthetic machinery. The previous studies (Sun *et al.*, 2009) reported that Mo deficiency results in yellowing and etiolating leaves, inhibition of chlorophyll biosynthesis [13] and abnormal changes in the chloroplast ultrastructure and configuration.

3.3.2 Microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen

The results found that the data varied from 70.40 to 98.53 at maturity plant-1 with untreated control. An overall mean value of 85.24 at maturity. The main effect of Rhizobium was found significant by 13.38% over the uninoculated Plants (79.89 mg C kg⁻¹). The main effect of seed treatment with Mo was also found significantly varying. StM1 responded significantly in increasing microbial biomass carbon by 7.08% over untreated Mo plants (84.45 mg C g⁻¹). StM2 exhibited no significant effect over that of Mo untreated plants. Soil application of Mo significantly increased microbial biomass carbon plant-1. SaM1 increased biomass C by 5.45% over SaM0. The best interaction was Rh1xStM1 exhibiting 20.05% followed by interaction of Rh1xStM0, Rh1xStM2 and Rh0xStM1 by 19.48 12.28 and 9.24% increase, respectively over Rh0xStM0 (79.56 mg C g⁻¹). The best Interaction was Rh1xStM1 exhibiting 1.73 fold followed by interaction of Rh1xStM0, Rh1xStM2 and Rh0xStM1 by 1.50, 1.40 and 1.18 folds increase, respectively over Rh0xStM0.

3.3.3 Nutrient content (Mo and N) in plant and rhizospheric soil

The results revealed that the micronutrient and bio fertilizers treatments had significant effects on availability of major soil nutrients after harvest of cowpea; However, the changes in soil pH showed non- significant effect. Among the molybdenum and bio fertilizers seed treatment plots the maximum available nitrogen (211.92%), The main effect of Rhizobium was found significant by 25.52 % over the uninoculated Plants. Soil application of Mo significantly increased total nitrogen in plant. Soil application of Mo significantly increased total nitrogen. SaM1 increased total nitrogen in leaves by 6.47% over SaM0 (1.70% total nitrogen). The best interaction was Rh1xStM1 exhibiting 49.28% followed by interaction of Rh1xStM0, and Rh1xStM2 by 28.57 and 32.85% increase, respectively over Rh0xStM0 (1.40% total nitrogen). Soil application of Mo significantly increased available nitrogen in soil. SaM1 increased available nitrogen by 3.76% over SaM0 (214.16 kg ha⁻¹ available nitrogen). The best interaction was Rh1xStM1 exhibiting 19.39% followed by interaction of Rh1xStM0, and Rh1xStM2 by 15.82 and 13.72 increase, respectively over Rh0xStM0. Molybdenum is an essential trace element and is vital for synthesis and activity of molybdoen-zymes such as

nitrogen assimilation enzyme nitrate reductase and the nitrogen fixing enzyme nitrogenase, the key regulatory component for initiation of nodulation and maintenance of nitrogen fixation in legumes [14].

3.4 Chlorophyll Content in Leaves

The result indicated that main effect of seed treatment with Mo was significantly varying. StM1 responded significantly in increasing total chlorophyll content by 18.39% over untreated Mo plants. The best interaction was Rh1xStM1 exhibiting 70.83% followed by interaction of Rh1xStM0, Rh1xStM2 and Rh0xStM1 by 50, 41.66 and 15.27% increase, respectively over Rh0xStM0. The best performing interaction was StM1xSaM1 by 40% followed by StM1xSaM0 and StM0xSaM1 by 32.5 and 28.75%, increase, total chlorophyll content. effect of all the interactions between RhxStMxSaM were significantly varied with the interaction of (Rh1xStM1xSaM1) increased total chlorophyll content in leaves by Rh1xStM1xSaM1 by 98.52% followed by the treatment interaction of Rh1xStM1xSaM0 and Rh1x StM0xSaM1 by 85.29 and 76.47%, increase, total chlorophyll content.

3.5 Yield of Crop at Harvest

The main results revealed that effect of Rhizobium was found that significant by 27.36% over the un inoculated Plants. StM1 responded significantly in increasing grain yield by 13.23% over untreated Mo plants. Soil application of Mo significantly increased grain yield plant-1. SaM1 increased grain yield by 7.61% over SaM0. The best interaction was Rh1xSaM1 by 37.15%

Table 1. Initial soil characteristics of the experimental soil

Soil Parameter	Depth (0-15 cm)
Sand (%)	25.3
Silt (%)	17.9
Clay (%)	56.8
Soil pH	7.6
Electrical conductivity (dSm ⁻¹)	0.17
Organic carbon (gkg ⁻¹)	6.4
Available Nitrogen (kg ha ⁻¹)	197.56
Available Phosphorus (kg ha ⁻¹)	14.25
Available Potassium (kg ha ⁻¹)	293.66
Available Molybdenum (mgkg ⁻¹)	0.20
Rhizobial population (cfu)	1.3×10 ⁵
Biomass carbon (mgkg ⁻¹)	198
Biomass nitrogen (mgkg ⁻¹)	20.2

Table 2. Effect of micronutrients and bio fertilizers on growth and different characters of cowpea

Seed Mo	Soil Mo	No. of Nodule/Plant			Nodule biomass			Microbial biomass nitrogen			Rhizobial population		Total molybdenum			
		Rh0	Rh1	Mean	Rh0	Rh1	Mean	Rh0	Rh1	Mean	Rh0	Rh1	Mean	Rh0	Rh1	Mean
StM0	SaM0	1.67	8.67	5.17	1.67	8.67	5.17	23.57	30.20	26.89	3.744 (5.55x10 ³)	4.741 (5.51x10 ⁴)	4.243	0.34	0.44	0.39
	SM1	6.00	10.67	8.34	6.00	10.67	8.34	29.33	41.30	35.32	5.764 (5.50x10 ⁵)	6.797 (6.27x10 ⁶)	6.281	0.38	0.45	0.42
	SaM2	4.33	10.33	7.33	4.33	10.33	7.33	27.97	39.13	33.55	5.756 (5.70x10 ⁵)	6.716 (6.25x10 ⁶)	6.236	0.36	0.46	0.41
StM0.5	SaM0	6.67	11.00	8.84	6.67	11.00	8.84	29.87	43.80	36.84	5.726 (5.32x10 ⁵)	6.883 (7.64x10 ⁶)	6.305	0.40	0.49	0.45
	SaM1	8.33	11.33	9.83	8.33	11.33	9.83	30.53	45.57	38.05	6.402 (2.52x10 ⁶)	7.159 (1.44x10 ⁷)	6.781	0.41	0.51	0.46
	SaM2	3.50	10.00	6.75	3.50	10.00	6.75	26.43	37.63	32.03	5.416 (2.60x10 ⁵)	5.811 (6.48x10 ⁵)	5.614	0.38	0.52	0.45
StM1.0	SaM0	2.00	9.67	5.84	2.00	9.67	5.84	25.67	35.47	30.57	4.750 (5.62x10 ⁴)	5.785 (6.09x10 ⁵)	5.268	0.39	0.54	0.47
	SaM1	4.67	9.33	7.00	4.67	9.33	7.00	24.33	34.43	29.38	4.762 (5.78x10 ⁴)	5.782 (6.06x10 ⁵)	5.272	0.41	0.56	0.49
	SaM2	3.23	9.00	6.12	3.23	9.00	6.12	23.57	33.47	28.52	4.595 (3.93x10 ⁴)	4.728 (5.34x10 ⁴)	4.662	0.42	0.57	0.50
Mean		4.49	10.00	7.24	4.49	10.00	7.24	26.80	37.89	32.35	5.186	6.044	5.615	0.60	0.64	0.62

Continue.....

Seed Mo	Soil Mo	Grain yield			Stover Yield			Total nitrogen			Available nitrogen			Total chlorophyll		
		Rh0	Rh1	Mean	Rh0	Rh1	Mean	Rh0	Rh1	Mean	Rh0	Rh1	Mean	Rh0	Rh1	Mean
StM0	SaM0	1.5	2.15	3.65	2.58	3.26	2.92	1.30	1.79	1.55	179.67	210.13	194.9	0.68	0.91	0.80
	SM1	2.1	2.56	4.66	3.22	3.51	3.37	1.61	2.09	1.85	201.87	225.53	213.7	0.85	1.20	1.03
	SaM2	1.97	2.46	4.43	3.21	3.47	3.34	1.58	2.01	1.80	199.2	224.63	211.91	0.82	1.14	0.98
StM0.5	SaM0	2.14	2.59	4.73	3.24	3.57	3.41	1.64	2.15	1.90	204.37	227.13	215.75	0.86	1.26	1.06
	SaM1	2.17	2.63	4.80	3.26	3.63	3.45	1.72	2.17	1.95	207.63	228.13	217.88	0.88	1.35	1.12
	SaM2	1.91	2.44	4.35	3.20	3.44	3.32	1.51	1.95	1.73	197.43	218.83	208.13	0.77	1.09	0.93
StM1.0	SaM0	1.85	2.36	4.21	3.17	3.36	3.27	1.45	1.89	1.67	192.41	216.8	204.60	0.73	1.08	0.91
	SaM1	1.74	2.34	4.08	3.11	3.34	3.23	1.40	1.85	1.63	191.53	216.87	204.20	0.72	1.01	0.87
	SaM2	1.69	2.28	3.97	3.07	3.30	3.19	1.36	1.83	1.60	188.47	211.93	200.20	0.71	0.96	0.84
Mean		1.9	2.42	4.32	3.12	3.43	3.27	2.10	2.22	2.16	195.84	219.99	207.92	0.80	1.11	0.95

Table 3. interaction Effect of micronutrients and bio fertilizers on growth and different characters of cowpea

RhxSa	No. of Nodule/Plant			Nodule biomass			Microbial biomass nitrogen			Rhizobial population		Total molybdenum			
	Rh0	Rh1	Mean	Rh0	Rh1	Mean	Rh0	Rh1	Mean	Rh0	Rh1	Mean	Rh0	Rh1	Mean
SaM0	3.45	9.78	6.61	3.45	9.78	6.61	26.37	36.49	31.43	4.740 (5.49x10 ⁴)	5.803 (6.35x10 ⁵)	5.272	0.38	0.49	0.43
SaM1	6.33	10.44	8.39	6.33	10.44	8.39	28.06	40.43	34.25	5.643 (4.39x10 ⁶)	6.579 (3.80x10 ⁶)	6.111	0.40	0.51	0.45
SaM2	3.69	9.78	6.73	3.69	9.78	6.73	25.99	36.74	31.37	5.256 (1.80x10 ⁵)	5.752 (6.06x10 ⁵)	5.504	0.39	0.52	0.45
Mean	4.49	10.00	7.24	4.49	10.00	7.24	26.81	37.89	32.35	5.213	6.045	5.629	0.39	0.50	0.45

Conti....

RhxSa	Grain yield			Stover Yield			Total nitrogen			Available nitrogen			Total chlorophyll		
	Rh0	Rh1	Mean	Rh0	Rh1	Mean	Rh0	Rh1	Mean	Rh0	Rh1	Mean	Rh0	Rh1	Mean
SaM0	1.83	2.37	2.10	3.00	3.40	3.20	1.46	1.94	1.70	192.15	218.02	205.08	0.76	1.08	0.92
SaM1	2.00	2.51	2.26	3.20	3.49	3.35	1.58	2.04	1.81	200.34	223.51	211.92	0.82	1.19	1.00
SaM2	1.86	2.39	2.13	3.16	3.40	3.28	1.48	1.93	1.71	195.03	218.46	206.74	0.77	1.06	0.92
Mean	1.90	2.42	2.16	3.12	3.43	3.27	1.51	1.97	1.74	195.84	219.99	207.92	0.78	1.11	0.95

Table 4. Significant and non- significant different of micronutrients and bio fertilizers on growth and different characters of cowpea

SEm and CD5%	No. of Nodule/ Plant				Nodule biomass				Microbial biomass nitrogen				Rhizobial population				Total molybdenum			
	Rhizobium	StM	SaM	StMxSaM	Rhizobium	StM	SaM	StMxSaM	Rhizobi um	StM	SaM	StMxSaM	Rhizobi um	StM	SaM	StMxSaM	Rhizob ium	StM	SaM	StMxSaM
Rhizobium	0.16	0.28	0.28	0.49	0.164	0.283	0.283	0.49	0.16	0.28	0.28	0.48	0.16	0.28	0.28	0.491	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02
	0.47	0.81	0.81	1.41	0.469	0.812	1	1.40	0.46	0.79	0.79	1.38	0.46	0.81	0.81	1.407	0.01	0.00	0.00	
StM		0.20	0.35			0.2	0.34			0.20	0.34			0.2	0.34		0.01	0.01		
		0.58	1.00			0.575	0.99			0.56	0.97			0.57	0.99		0.01	0.01		0.00
SaM			0.20				0.2				0.20				0.2				0.01	
			0.58				0.57				0.56				0.57		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01

Conti.....

SEm and CD5%	Grain yield				Stover Yield				Total nitrogen				Available nitrogen				Total chlorophyll				
	Rhizobium	StM	SaM	PSBxP	StMxSaM	Rhizobium	StM	SaM	StMxSaM	Rhizobium	StM	SaM	StMxSaM	Rhizobium	StM	SaM	StMxSaM	Rhizobium	StM	SaM	StMxSaM
Rhizobium	0.057	0.099	0.099	SEm	0.171	0.06	0.10	0.10	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.26	0.44	0.44	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01
	0.163	0.28	0.28	CD5%	490	0.16	0.28	0.28	490.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.74	1.28	1.28	2.21	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02
StM		0.07	0.121			0.07	0.12			0.00	0.00	0.00			0.31	0.31		0.00	0.00		
		0.2	0.347			0.20	0.35			0.01	0.01	0.01			0.90	0.90		0.01	0.01		
SaM			0.07				0.07				0.00					0.31			0.00		
			0.2				0.20				0.01					0.90			0.01		

followed by Rh1xSaM2 and Rh1xSaM0 by 30.60 and 29.50%, increase grain yield. Effect of all the interactions between RhxStMxSaM were significantly varied with the interaction of (Rh1xStM1xSaM1) increased grain yield by Rh1xStM1xSaM1 by 75.33% followed by the treatment interaction of Rh1xStM1xSaM0 and Rh1x StM0xSaM1 by 72.66 and 70.66% increase, respectively over the control (Rh0xStM0xSaM0) stover yield per plant. Effect of all the interactions between RhxStMxSaM were significantly varied with the interaction of (Rh1xStM1xSaM1) increased stover yield plant⁻¹ by Rh1xStM1xSaM1 by 42.63% followed by the treatment interaction of Rh1xStM1xSaM0 and Rh1x StM0xSaM1 by 38.37 and 36.04% increase, respectively over the control. Chatterjee and Bandyopadhyay [15] Asokan et al. [16] found that seed inoculation with bio fertilizers supplied the bioactive compounds such as vitamins, hormones and enzymes which influenced the plant metabolism activities. The availability and optimum supply of essential nutrients such as nitrogen and molybdenum favorably influenced the plant vigor, morphology and metabolic processes, which ultimately enhanced the pods per plant and yield, increased of cowpea. Kothari [17,18] stated that seed treatment of molybdenum can effectively supplement internal molybdenum deficiencies and rescue the activity of molybdo enzymes.

4. CONCLUSION

The experimental finding revealed that cowpea productivity under clay and sandy soil of humid zone can be enhanced through micronutrient management in the presence of biofertilizers. Adoption of seed treatment with molybdenum and biofertilizers on vegetative growth, nodulation and pod yield of vegetable cowpea in kymor plateau and satpura hills region along with recommended inorganic fertilizers would bring beneficial results for profitability in cowpea crop cultivation.

CONFERENCE DISCLAIMER

Some part of this manuscript was previously presented in the conference: 6th International Conference on Strategies and Challenges in Agricultural and Life Science for Food Security and Sustainable Environment (SCALFE-2023) on April 28-30, 2023 in Himachal Pradesh University, Summer Hill, Shimla, HP, India. Web Link of the proceeding: <https://www.shobhituniversity.ac.in/pdf/Souvenir->

Abstract%20Book-Shimla-HPU-SCALFE-2023.pdf

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The first author is grateful to the JNKVV, Jabalpur for providing facility and support during the Master Degree Programme.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Marschner H: Marschner's Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants, Academic press, London; 2011.
2. Liu P. Effects of the stress of molybdenum on plants and the interaction between molybdenum and other element. *Agri. Environ. Protect.* 2002;21:276–278.
3. Thibaund GR: Molybdenum relationships in soils and plants. KwaZulu-Natal Department of Agriculture and Environmental Affairs, Cedara College, Private Bag X. 2005;9059.
4. Westermann DT. Nutritional requirements of potatoes. *American Journal of Potato Research* 2005;82:301-307.
5. Jesus ACd, Freitas MSM, Peçanha DA, Vieira ME, Cunha JM, Pereira JdS, Gravina GdA., Braun H, Vieira HD. Seed molybdenum concentration and yield of cowpea as influenced by foliar application of sodium molybdate and soil application of simple superphosphate. *Journal of Plant Nutrition.* 2023;46(17):4224-4238.
6. Kaiser BN, Gridley KL, Brady JN, Phillips T, Tyerman SD. The role of molybdenum in agricultural plant production. *Ann. Bot.* 2005;96:745–754.
7. Walkley A, Black CA. An examination for degtjreff method for determination soil organic matter and proposal for modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Sci.* 1934;37:29-38.
8. Subbiah BV, Asija EC. A rapid procedure for estimation of available nitrogen in soil. *Curr. Sci.*, 1956;25:259-260.
9. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Vatanabe FS and Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soil by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. *Circ. U.S Dept. Agric.* 1954;939:1-19.

10. Chapman KC, Pratt PF..Soil, water and plant analysis.Univ. California, Agri. Div.Publication; 1961.
11. Ellis and Olson. Determination of available molybdenum in soil. Anal. Chem. 1950;22: 328.
12. Steel RG, Torrie JH and Dickey DA. Principles and procedure of statistics. A biometrical approach. 3 rdEd., McGraw Hill Book Co. New York, USA; 1997.
13. Min YU, Cheng-xiao HU, Yun-hua W. Effects of Molybdenum on the Intermediates of Chlorophyll Biosynthesis in Winter Wheat Cultivars Under Low Temperature. Agricultural Sciences in China. 2006;9(5):670-677.
14. Franco AA, Munns. Response of Phaseolus vulgaris L. to molybdenum under acid conditions. Soil Sci. Soc.Am.J. 1981;45:1144-1148.
15. Chatterjee R, Bandyopadhyay S. Effect of boron, molybdenum and biofertilizers on growth and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) in acid soil of eastern Himalayan region. Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences. 2017; 16:332-336.
16. Asokan R, Mohandas S, Anand L. Bio fertilizers and bio pesticide for horticultural crops. India Horticult. 2000; 2:44-52.
17. Kothari ML.. Effect of modes and levels of molybdenum application on grain yield protein content and nodulation of chickpea grown on loamy sand soil. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 2002; 33(15):18-23.
18. Liu S, Chi Q, ChengY, Zhu B, Li W, Zhang X, Huang Y, Müller C, Cai Z, Zhang J. Importance of matching soil N transformations, crop N form preference, and climate to enhance crop yield and reducing N loss. Science of The Total Environment. 2019;657:1265-1273.

© 2023 Uikey et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105424>