



Evaluation of Mulching Effects on Weed Control in Blackgram Production under Irrigated Condition

T. Chandralekha^{a++*}, R. Isaac Manuel^a, K. Sharmili^a
and P. Dinesh Kumar^a

^a *Department of Agronomy, School of Agricultural Sciences, Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Coimbatore -641114, India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i82150

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/101609>

Original Research Article

Received: 08/04/2023

Accepted: 10/06/2023

Published: 19/06/2023

ABSTRACT

A field experiment with the objectives to understand the evaluation of mulching effects on weed control in blackgram production under irrigated condition involving two factors viz., mulching (paddy straw mulching, sugarcane trash mulching, no mulching) and herbicidal treatments (pendimethalin pre-emergence (PE) @ 1.0 Kg/ha, pendimethalin + Imazethapyr (pre-mix) pre-emergence Valor 32% EC 1.0 Kg/ha, Hand weeding on 15 and 30 days after sowing, weedy check) was undertaken in Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) design with three replications at the instructional farm of Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Coimbatore region of western Tamil Nadu during the cropping seasons of Rabi 2022-23. Results revealed that paddy straw mulching sequential application of Pendimethalin + Imazethapyr (pre-mix) pre-emergence Valor 32% EC and sugarcane trash mulching sequential application of pendimethalin + imazethapyr (pre-mix) pre-emergence Valor 32% EC recorded lower weed density, weed dry weight and maximum crop yield as well as net returns over rest of the mulching and herbicidal treatments.

⁺⁺ PG Scholar;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: chandralehat@karunya.edu.in;

Keywords: *Blackgram; herbicides; irrigation; mulching; pre-emergence; weed management.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Blackgram is a highly priced pulse, very rich in phosphoric acid. India is currently the largest producer of blackgram accounting for more than 70% of the global production, followed by Myanmar and Pakistan. According to a report by Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University (2023), black gram area in India during Rabi 2022-23, as on 03rd February 2023 black gram area was down by 5.0% at 7.77 lakh ha (19.21 lakh acres) as against 8.18 lakh ha (20.23 lakh acres) last year. The major Kharif growing states are Tamil Nadu 2.74 lakh ha (6.78 lakh acres), Andhra Pradesh 2.55 lakh ha (6.30 lakh acres), Odisha 2.00 lakh ha (4.96 lakh acres), Telangana 0.178 lakh ha (0.44 lakh acres), Chhattisgarh 0.14 lakh ha (0.35 lakh acres) and West Bengal 0.18 lakh ha (0.95 lakh acres). According to the first advance estimates of production of food grains for 2022-23 by the ministry of agriculture and farmers welfare, all India black gram production estimate was 1.84 million tonnes [1].

“Weeds infestation is one of the major factors lowering yield in blackgram and the crop is invaded by a large number of fast-growing weeds during the initial stages of its growth” [2]. “The weeds generally compete with the crop plants for soil moisture, light, space and nutrients for its growth and development. The short life cycle of the crop subjugated by stiff crop weed competition during the critical stages of its growth, results in huge yield losses” [2]. The critical period for crop weed competition in blackgram will be usually ranging from 20 to 40 days after sowing, which often results in a yield losses ranging from 40 to 85 percent. Though manual weeding in blackgram is very effective, it is arduous, costly and time consuming. Under such situations, use of herbicides for weed management holds a great promise. Application of herbicides may control diversity of weeds but may not be effective on certain species of weed and have also resulted in weed shift and weed resistance. Under such situation adopting one single method of weed control may not yield better results and often it warrants a combine use of two or more methods for successful weed management.

On the other hand, mulching is one of the important agronomic practice beneficial in conserving the soil moisture, suppressing the

weeds, improving soil fertility and modifying the soil physical environment [3]. Adopting organic mulching practices by using paddy straw and sugarcane trashes can decrease the weed density apart from improving the soil health [4]. In addition to inhibiting the weed germination and smothering the weed growth, the organic mulches build up the nutrients in the soil and alters the soil physical properties as well. With decomposition of organic mulch in the soil, the organic acids that gets released would help in enhancing the soil biome, solubilizing the soil nutrients and increasing the nutrient availability to the crop [5].

Keeping in view of the above scenario, the study was conducted to understand the comparative response of integrating mulching practices with the weed management practices on the agronomic traits of blackgram. In a similar manner, experimental research was conducted on green gram within an agri-horti system [3]. We hypothesized that this integrated approach will enhance the weed control efficiency, the nutrient use efficiency and, thereby increase the crop growth and yield. The study also aimed to optimize a definite combination of organic mulching with the weed management practice in terms of sustainable blackgram production.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at the instructional farm, School of Agricultural Sciences, Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Coimbatore (Tamil Nadu), during the Rabi season of 2022. The farm is geographically located at 10° 56' N latitude and 76° 44' E longitude at an elevation of 474 m above mean sea level. The blackgram variety VBN 8 was used for the experiment and the crop was grown in the field with a spacing of 30 x10 cms by following the prescribed packages of practices.

The experiment was laid out in a Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) with 2 factors namely, mulching and weed management practices with a combination of 12 treatments, which were replicated thrice. The treatment comprises three mulching treatments namely M₁ – Paddy straw mulching @5 t/ha after the emergence of crop, M₂ – Sugarcane trash mulching @ 5 t/ha after the emergence of crop, M₃ – No mulching, along with four best weed management treatments for blackgram

viz., W_1 – Pendimethalin pre-emergence (PE) @ 1.0 Kg/ha, W_2 - Pendimethalin + Imazethapyr (pre-mix) pre-emergence Valor 32% EC 1.0 Kg/ha, W_3 - Hand weeding on 15 and 30 days after sowing, W_4 – Weedy check. The herbicides spraying as per the treatments were done with the help of knapsack backpack pump pressure sprayer by using a spray volume of 500 l/ha. The density and dry weight of weeds were assessed at 20, 40 and 60 days after sowing with the help of steel square quadrat (1 × 1 m) by placing quadrat randomly in each plot. The weeds existing in the 1 × 1 m areas were counted, categorized as grassy and broad-leaved weeds, and were also uprooted for dry matter determination.

The data collected on various characters studied during the experiment were subjected to statistical analysis in a factorial randomized block design (FRBD). The significance of the difference was tested by the “F” test at a 5 percent level.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect of Mulching and Weed Management Practices on Weeds

3.1.1 Weed flora

The major weed flora found in the experimental field were, *Dinebra retroflexa*, *Echinochloa colona* and *Cynodon dactylon* as grassy weeds *Amaranthus viridis*, *Calotropis gigantea*, *Corchorus trilocularis*, *Euphorbia thymifolia*, *Trianthema monogyna*, *Parthenium hysterophorus*, *Portulaca oleraceae*, and *Trianthema portulacastrum* as broad leaf.

3.1.2 Weed dry weight (g/m^2)

It was observed that there was progressive increase in total weed dry weight from sowing to harvest (Table 1). All weed control treatments observed lower weed dry weight compared with untreated check. The significantly minimum dry weight of total weeds was noted with two hand weeding at 15 & 30 DAS and paddy straw mulch application plot due to obtained least population of grassy-leaf and broad - leaf weeds, while highest dry weight was recorded under weedy check. Similar findings were reported by Taku et al. [6].

Among herbicidal treatments, lower dry weight was recorded under PE application of pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg/ha along with paddy

straw which was statistically at par with PE application of Pendimethalin + Imazethapyr (pre - mix) @ 1.0 kg/ha along with paddy straw and both were observed significantly superior compared to other treatments. Similar findings were also reported by Sukumar et al., [7], Singh et al., [8] and Mohanty et al. [9].

However, no mulching along with weedy check was adjudged the highest weed dry weight. Similar findings were also reported by Sharma et al., [10] and Khairnar et al., [11].

3.1.3 Weed control efficiency (%)

Weed control efficiency (WCE) was higher with Pendimethalin + Imazethapyr (pre - mix) @ 1.0 kg/ha along with paddy straw mulch and sugarcane trash mulch over rest of the mulching and herbicidal treatments. At hand weeding twice at 15 and 30 DAS registered WCE of 91.2% in rabi season, respectively. Similar findings were reported by Jagadesh et al., [12]. Minimum Weed control efficiency (WCE) was associated with no mulching along with weedy check 59.9% in rabi season, respectively.

3.2 Effect of Mulching and Weed Management Practices on the Yield Attributes and Economics of Blackgram

3.2.1 Yield (kg/ha) and Harvest index (%)

The results showed remarkable variation in growth and yield attributes and economics of blackgram due to mulching and herbicides application (Table 2). Among all the mulching and herbicides treatments significantly increased the grain yield, stover yield and test weight over no mulching along with weedy check (619.7kg, 1561.5 kg and 4.02 g/100 grains; respectively). The maximum values were obtained with two hand weeding at 15 & 30 DAS along with sugarcane trash. Paddy straw mulch along with Pendimethalin + Imazethapyr (pre-mix) Valor 32% EC and sugarcane trash mulch along with Pendimethalin + Imazethapyr (pre-mix) Valor 32% EC were observed statistically on par and significantly superior over rest of the treatments. The significantly higher value of harvest index was recorded with paddy straw mulch along with PE Pendimethalin + Imazethapyr (pre-mix) 1000 g/ha (29.2%) being statistically at par with sugarcane trash mulch along with PE Pendimethalin + Imazethapyr (pre-mix) 1000 g/ha (28.8%), two hand weeding 15 and 30 days

Table 1. Weed dry weight and weed control efficiency of black gram as influenced by mulching and herbicides

Treatments	Symbol	Dry wt. of weeds (g/ m ²)			Weed control efficiency (%)		
		20 DAS	40 DAS	60 DAS	20 DAS	40 DAS	60 DAS
Mulching							
Paddy straw mulching @ 5 t/ha	M ₁	3.13	21.85	28.91	69.8	90.2	89.7
Sugarcane trash mulching @ 5 t/ha	M ₂	0.77	9.70	14.11	92.6	95.7	95.0
No mulching	M ₃	7.82	88.19	110.68	24.8	60.6	60.7
SEm (±)		0.09	0.29	0.30	0.8	0.1	0.1
C. D. (P=5%)		0.26	0.85	0.88	2.3	0.4	0.3
Weed management practices							
PE Pendimethalin @ 1000 g/ha	W ₁	4.52	29.23	38.20	56.5	87.0	86.4
PE Pendimethalin + Imazethapyr (pre-mix) 1000 g/ha	W ₂	3.07	25.54	29.44	70.4	88.6	89.5
Hand weeding on 15 and 30 DAS	W ₃	2.49	16.54	24.52	76.0	92.6	91.3
weedy check	W ₄	5.54	88.34	112.77	46.7	60.6	59.9
SEm (±)		0.10	0.33	0.35	0.9	0.1	0.1
C. D. (P=5%)		0.30	0.98	1.02	2.7	0.4	0.3
Interaction							
SEm (±)		0.17	0.58	0.60	1.6	0.3	0.2
C. D. (P=5%)		0.51	1.69	1.76	4.7	0.7	0.6

Table 2. Yield attributes and economics of black gram as influenced by mulching and herbicides

Treatments	Symbol	No. of pod/ plant	Test weight	Grain yield	Halum yield	Harvest index	Total cost of cultivation (Rs /ha)	Gross return (Rs/ha)	Net income (Rs/ha)	B:C Ratio
Mulching										
paddy straw mulching @ 5 t/ha	M ₁	7.14	4.07	719.00	1745.2	29.2	32635	84430	51795	1.59
Sugarcane trash mulching @ 5 t/ha	M ₂	7.92	4.11	872.11	2159.2	28.8	34235	102452	68217	1.99
No mulching	M ₃	6.20	4.02	619.73	1561.5	28.4	28535	72830	44295	1.55
SEm (±)		0.01	0.003	0.69	0.30	0.02	-	-	-	-
C. D. (P=0.05)		0.03	0.01	2.02	0.9	0.1	-	-	-	-
Weed management practices										
PE Pendimethalin @ 1000 g/ha	W ₁	6.98	4.06	722.92	1777.5	28.8	29535	84914	55379	1.88
PE Pendimethalin + Imazethapyr (pre-mix) 1000 g/ha	W ₂	7.18	4.07	748.36	1846.6	28.8	29435	87908	58473	1.99
Hand weeding on 15 and 30 DAS	W ₃	7.37	4.08	786.32	1969.8	28.6	33785	92397	58612	1.73
weedy check	W ₄	6.82	4.05	690.16	1694.1	29.0	28535	81063	52528	1.84
SEm (±)		0.01	0.004	0.79	0.35	0.02	-	-	-	-
C. D. (P=0.05)		0.04	0.01	2.33	1.0	0.1	-	-	-	-
Interaction										
SEm (±)		0.02	0.01	1.37	0.61	0.04	-	-	-	-
C. D. (P=0.05)		0.068	NS	4.03	1.79	0.11	-	-	-	-

along with paddy straw mulch and as same for sugarcane trash mulch, and significantly economical over rest of the treatments. It may be possible due to lesser weed population under these plots hence increased growth factors availability resulting increase harvest index.

3.2.2 Economics

The choice of any weed control method ultimately depends on economics and weed controlling efficiency. The cost of Mulching and herbicide weed control is actually less than that of manual weeding. This has been a major incentive to many farmers for switching over to herbicides.

All the weed control treatments gave more net returns over no mulch along with weedy check (Rs.44295/ha). The maximum net return was found with sugarcane trash mulch along PE application of Pendimethalin+Imazethapyr (pre-mix) @ 1000 g/ha (Rs. 68217/ha) over rest of the treatments. Similar results were also obtained by Chicham et al., [13]. The next order best treatments were, Hand weeding on 15 and 30 days (Rs. 58612/ha), PE Pendimethalin @ 1000 g/ha (Rs. 55379/ha) over the rest of the treatments.

The highly economical benefit: cost ratio was recorded under sugarcane trash mulch along with PE application of Pendimethalin+Imazethapyr (pre-mix) @ 1000 g/ha (1.99) followed by PE application of Pendimethalin @ 1000 g/ha (1.88) and hand weeding on 15 and 30 days (1.73); while lowest was noticed under no mulch with weedy check (1.55).

4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that mulching and effective weed management practices have a significant impact on the growth, yield, weed infestation, and economic performance of black gram cultivation. Mulching treatment M₂ and weed management treatment W₂ were economically and W₃ consistently showed the most favorable outcomes across various attributes, indicating their potential for improving black gram cultivation practices. These findings can guide farmers and researchers in making informed decisions to optimize black gram production and maximize economic returns.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the Division of Agronomy, School of Agricultural Sciences (SAS), Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences (KITS), Coimbatore, Tamilnadu – 641114.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University. Black gram Outlook; 2023. Available:<https://pjtsau.edu.in/files/AgriMkt/2023/February/blackgram-February-2023.pdf>
2. Chaudhary S, Bana RC, Nishant Thakur K, Rana V, Singh S. Efficacy of pendimethalin and imazethapyr against major weed of greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.). Green Farming. 2020;11(1):14-19.
3. Verma SK, Prasad SK, Kumar S, Singh SB, Singh RP, Singh YV. Effect of mulching and herbicides on weeds, yield and economics of greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.) grown under eight-year-old agri-horti system. Res Crops. 2017;18(3): 438-43.
4. Sivakumar C, Rajendran A, Eniya R, Hemalatha S, Vennila G, Pandiyan M. Chemical and nonchemical method of weed management in black gram (*Vigna mungo* L.) in Northeastern Zone of Tamil Nadu. Int J Agric Environ Biotechnol. 2019;12(4):351-60.
5. Chogatapur SV, Wajith V, Sutar R. Organic sugarcane: A review. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2017;6(12):1729-38.
6. Taku A, Tzudir L, Kumari S, Nongmaithem D. Weed management strategies in summer black gram (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper) grown in sandy loam soils of western Nagaland. Biological Forum – An International Journal. 2023;15(2):719-23.
7. Sukumar J, Pazhanivelan S, Kunjammal P. Effect of preemergence and postemergence herbicides on weed control in irrigated black gram. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry [Special Publication]. 2018;1:3206-9.
8. Singh M, Shekhar KS, Ardeep, Datta D. Herbicide combinations for weed

- management in Urdbean (*Vigna mungo*) under tarai condition of Uttarakhand. Int J Chem Stud. 2018;6(4):1594-7.
9. Mohanty TR, Saha P, Sahoo KC, Tudu S, Ray M, Sahoo SK et al. Weed management in black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper] during wet season in North Central Plateau Zone of Odisha. J Food Legumes. 2020;33(3):191-4.
10. Sharma P, Abrol V, Sharma RK. Impact of tillage and mulch management on economics, energy requirement and crop performance in maize-wheat rotation in rainfed sub-humid inceptisols, India. Eur J Agron. 2011;34(1):46-51.
11. Khairnar CB, Goud VV, Seth HN. Pre and postemergence herbicides for weed management in greengram. Indian J Weed Sci. 2014;46:392-95.
12. Jagadesh M, Raju M. Efficacy of sequential application of pre-and early postemergence herbicides for management of weeds in black gram. Indian J Weed Sci. 2021;53(2):158-63.
13. Chicham S, Bhadauria SS, Sakya N, Gaur D, Dangi RS, Mahor S et al. Effect of chemical weed management practices on black gram under sandy clay loam soils of Madhya Pradesh, India. Int J Chem Stud. 2020;8(3):1923-8.

© 2023 Chandralekha et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/101609>