



Heterosis, GCA and SCA Effects of Diallel-cross among Six Faba Bean (*Vicia faba* L.) Genotypes

M. M. F. Abdalla¹, M. M. Shafik¹, Sabah M. Attia² and Hend A. Ghannam^{2*}

¹Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Egypt.

²Food Legume Research Section- ARC, Egypt.

Authors' contributions

All authors conceived and designed the study, participated in drafting and correcting the manuscript critically and gave the final approval of the version to be published.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ARJA/2017/32291

Editor(s):

(1) Rusu Teodor, Department of Technical and Soil Sciences, University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, Romania.

Reviewers:

(1) Abe Shegro, University of the Free State, South Africa and Agricultural Research Council, Vegetable and Ornamental Plant Institute, South Africa.

(2) Abd Allah A. Abd Allah, Agricultural Research Centre, Egypt.

(3) Aamir Raina, Aligarh Muslim University, India.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/19155>

Original Research Article

Received 19th February 2017

Accepted 14th April 2017

Published 22nd May 2017

ABSTRACT

The present investigation was carried out under insect free cage during 2011/12, 2012/13 growing seasons at Giza Research Station, Egypt. A diallel-cross including reciprocals among six parents of faba bean (Giza 843, Nubaria 1, Cairo 25, Cairo 5, Cairo 33 and Misr 3) was utilized to study the heterotic effects, as well as general and specific combining ability. Results showed significant differences between parents and F₁'s for all studied traits and these differences may be mainly due to the genetic diversity of the parents. Based on the two estimates of heterotic effects (over mid and better parent), 5, 12, 7, 10, 13, 8 and 8 crosses exhibited significantly positive heterotic effects for plant height, number of branches/plant, number of pods/plant, number of seeds/plant, seed yield/plant and 100-seed weight, respectively. The ratio of both estimates GCA/SCA exceeded the unity for all traits indicating the relative importance of the two types of gene action suggesting the predominance of additive types of gene action controlling these traits and therefore selection would be effective for improving these traits. Giza 843 (P₁) was the best general combiner for all studied traits. Giza 843 (P₁), Nubaria 1 (P₂) and Cairo 25 (P₃) exhibited useful general combining ability effects. Significant positive SCA effects for all studied traits occurred in different combinations

*Corresponding author: E-mail: hendbreeder@gmail.com;

Reciprocal-cross differences occurred in specific crosses in different traits. The hybrids showing high heterosis over better parents could be used to develop synthetic varieties in order to exploit heterosis in faba bean breeding.

Keywords: Faba bean; diallel analysis; combining ability; heterosis; yield component traits.

1. INTRODUCTION

Faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) is one of the leading staple legumes in many countries, spanning from Africa to the Middle East especially in Egypt. It plays an important role in world agriculture, owing to its high protein content, ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen, capacity to grow and yield well on marginal lands.

However, there is a reduction in global production of faba bean in many countries [1,2] which may worsen the gap between production and consumption. A hybrid breeding has been suggested as a solution for improving seed yield and yield stability in the faba bean. Superiority of hybrids over the mid and/or better parents for seed yield is associated with the manifestation of heterotic effects in important yield components, i.e., number of branches per plant, number of pods per plant, seed yield per plant and seed index [3,4,5,6].

Heterosis, results from the combined action and inheritance of allelic genes, the estimates of additive and dominance components of genetic variance is very important in evaluating the potential of any heterotic response [7]. Abdalla [8] reported that, heterosis was very pronounced in F_1 especially among widely divergent materials and less heterosis response occurred in hybrids between local varieties.

An inference can be made from diallele crosses about general combining ability of parents and specific combining ability of hybrids. Such information may be helpful for breeders to identify the best combiners which may be hybridized to build up a Favorable fixable genes. Several researchers have reported the significance of both general and specific combining ability effects on seed yield and other important traits in faba bean [9,10,11,12,5].

The objectives of this study were to analyze the genetic system and types of gene effects controlling traits associated with yield and yield components of faba bean and to select the best combinations between parents through using

estimates of the genetic analysis in future breeding programs.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiments of the present study were carried out at Giza Research Station of the Agriculture Research Center (ARC), Egypt during 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 seasons under an insect free cage. Six diverse faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) varieties were used. The origin, pedigree and some features of these parental genotypes are presented in Table 1.

Crosses to obtain $F_{1,s}$ and reciprocals were made during 2011/12 season. In 2012/13 evaluation trial was carried involving, the six parents, 15 $F_{1,s}$ and F_1 15 reciprocals using a Randomize Complete Blocks Design with three replicates. Each parent, F_1 and reciprocal was represented by one ridge per each replicate. Each ridge was 3 m long, 45 cm apart and single seeds were sown in hills spaced 20 cm. All agronomic practices were carried out as recommended to the crop.

Regular analysis of variance of RCBD on plot mean basis was conducted to test genotypic differences. The heterotic effects of $F_{1,s}$ were estimated as percentage over mid and better parents as follows:

$$\text{Mid-parent heterosis} = \frac{F_1 - \overline{MP} \times 100}{\overline{MP}}$$

$$\text{Better-parent heterosis} = \frac{F_1 - \overline{BP} \times 100}{\overline{BP}}$$

Where

$\overline{F_1}$ = Mean of F_1 cross.

\overline{MP} = Mean of the two parents.

\overline{BP} = Mean of the better parent.

Combining ability analysis was conducted according to Method 1, Model 1 of Griffing [13] and assuming that the parents are a fixed set. Genetic components of variation and genetic parameters were estimated according to Hayman [14,15] and Jinks [16].

Table 1. Origin, pedigree and some features of the used parental genotypes

Parent	Origin	Pedigree	Characters
Giza 843 (P ₁)	FCRI*	461/845/83 x 561/2076/85	Early flowering and maturity, tolerant to <i>Orobanche</i> and resistant to foliar diseases
Nubaria1 (P ₂)	FCRI	Selected from Giza Blanca	Large seeded type, with colorless hilum, resistant to foliar diseases and late flowering and maturity and susceptible to <i>Orobanche</i>
Cairo 25 (P ₃)	ADFACU**	Synthetic variety	Medium seeds, tolerant to <i>Orobanche</i>
Cairo 5 (P ₄)	ADFACU	Synthetic variety	Medium seeds, tolerant to <i>Orobanche</i>
Cairo 33 (P ₅)	ADFACU	Individual selection from program	Medium seeds, with colorless hilum
Misr3 (P ₆)	FCRI	L-667x (Cairo241x Giza 461)	Medium seeds, tolerant to <i>Orobanche</i>

*FCRI= Field Crops Research Institute, ARC

**ADFACU= Agronomy Department of the Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Significance of Mean Square for Different Characters

Mean squares for the studied parents and their F₁ progenies (Table 2) revealed highly significant variations for all characters. That may indicate a wide genetic variability for studied characters, which may facilitate genetic improvement using such genetic pools of faba bean.

Parents and crosses along with parents vs. crosses mean squares were highly significant for plant height, number of branches/plant and seed yield/plant in most crosses indicating superiority of crosses over parents. Results presented in Table 2 revealed highly significant mean squares due to GCA and SCA for all traits. The ratio of both estimates GCA/SCA exceeded the unity for all traits indicating the relative importance of the two types of gene action suggesting the

predominance of additive types of gene action controlling these traits and therefore selection would be effective for improving these traits. Similar results were obtained by El-Hady et al. [17], Abdalla et al. [7], Attia et al. [18] Darwish et al. [19] and Abdalla et al. [5].

3.2 Performance of Parents and F₁ Hybrids

Mean performance of parents and their F₁ hybrids are presented in Tables 3 and 4. Regarding plant height, the parents Giza 843 (P₁), Cairo 33 (P₅) and Nubaria 1 (P₂) had the tallest plants (87.11, 86.83 and 86.61 cm, respectively), whereas the shortest plants was Cairo 5 (P₄) which recorded 75.77 cm. The two parental genotypes Giza 843 (P₁) and Nubaria 1 (P₂) exhibited the highest number of branches/plant (3.05 and 2.93 respectively). However, Cairo 33 had the highest number of pod set (15.07), whereas Misr 3 had the lowest number

Table 2. Significance of mean squares for faba bean characters in the F₁ diallel cross

Source of variation	df	Plant height (cm)	Branches /plant	Pods/ plant	Seeds /plant	Seed yield/plant (g)	100-seed weight (g)
Rep.	2	32.11	0.13	15.69	33.75	37.29	38.59
Progenies	35	373.74**	1.85**	71.09**	299.70**	257.98**	1249.61**
Parents (P)	5	59.41**	0.98**	8.23	79.58	104.54**	591.18**
Crosses (C)	29	430.23**	2.00**	84.37**	345.33**	284.62**	1405.65**
P vs. C	1	307.19*	1.63**	0.29	77.07	252.72**	16.39
Error	70	44.86	0.10	12.64	40.62	29.63	165.51
GCA	5	220.42**	1.63**	34.82**	168.70**	152.99**	1082.87**
SCA	15	134.39**	0.48**	14.25**	70.89**	82.77**	163.74**
Reciprocals	15	82.83**	0.41**	29.44**	105.98**	66.88**	447.22**
Error	70	14.95	0.03	4.21	13.54	9.88	55.17
GCA/SCA		1.64	3.40	2.44	2.38	1.85	6.61

* and ** indicate significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

of pod set (10.94). On the other hand, Giza 843 and Cairo 5 had significantly the highest number of seeds/plant (39.33 and 36.68, respectively). Regarding seed yield/plant Giza 843 (P₁) and Nubaria 1 (P₂) had the highest seed yield/plant (34.74 g and 31.74 g, respectively). For 100-seed weight three parental genotypes: Nubaria 1 (P₂), Cairo 33 (P₅) and Giza 843 (P₁), had the heaviest seed index (110.69, 95.83 and 95.32 g, respectively).

The mean performance values of F₁'s are presented in Tables 3 and 4. Results revealed that nine, eight, two, five, five and ten crosses had higher means for plant height, number of branches, number of pods/plant, number of seeds/ plant, seed yield/plant and 100-seed weight, respectively.

3.3 Heterosis

The percentage of heterosis relative to the mid and better parents for yield and its component traits is presented in Tables 5 and 6. Plant height is an important yield component trait. When plant height "increases" the number of "poded nodes" and therefore, the number of pods increases which contributes positively towards yield enhancement in faba bean. Seven crosses exhibited highly significant positive heterosis over

mid and better parents. Out of 30 crosses, 12 crosses had highly significant positive heterosis over both mid and better parents for number of branches/plant and for number of pods/plant, 12 crosses possessed highly significant positive heterosis over both mid and better parents. Considering heterosis over mid and better parent for number of seeds/plant, 10 crosses had highly significant positive heterosis over both mid and better parents.

As in other crops, seed yield per plant is a complex trait in faba bean and improvement of seed yield remains the most important objective of the faba bean improvement programs. Thirteen crosses had highly significant positive heterosis over both mid and better parents. The 100-seed weight is an important yield contributing trait in faba bean and in the present study 8 crosses exhibited highly significant positive heterosis over mid and better parents.

Saad et al. [6] observed significant positive and negative heterosis over better parents insignificant positive and negative heterosis in plant height, number of branches per plant, significant positive heterosis in number of pods per plant and significant negative heterosis in 100-seed weight and concluded that in general,

Table 3. Performance of parents and their crosses in F₁ generation of faba bean for studied traits

Genotypes	Plant height (cm)		Branches/plant		Pods/plant	
	F ₁	Reciprocal	F ₁	Reciprocal	F ₁	Reciprocal
P ₁ (Giza 843)	87.11		3.05		13.95	
P ₂ (Nubaria 1)	86.61		2.93		11.15	
P ₃ (Cairo 25)	84.04		2.05		11.77	
P ₄ (Cairo 5)	75.77		2.18		13.16	
P ₅ (Cairo 33)	86.83		1.63		15.07	
P ₆ (Misr 3)	81.20		1.93		10.94	
	F ₁	Reciprocal	F ₁	Reciprocal	F ₁	Reciprocal
P ₁ xP ₂	110.42	93.33	3.00	3.00	16.08	8.17
P ₁ xP ₃	76.67	91.67	3.50	4.50	20.42	10.83
P ₁ xP ₄	89.17	96.67	2.17	3.17	13.83	16.00
P ₁ xP ₅	72.50	88.33	3.42	3.67	33.17	10.17
P ₁ xP ₆	79.17	72.50	3.42	2.00	13.67	6.83
P ₂ xP ₃	76.67	77.50	2.17	4.00	13.00	12.75
P ₂ xP ₄	64.17	81.67	2.17	3.33	9.83	7.17
P ₂ xP ₅	60.83	76.67	2.00	1.83	6.67	14.67
P ₂ xP ₆	83.33	88.33	3.67	2.83	12.50	8.67
P ₃ xP ₄	70.83	91.67	2.33	2.83	18.17	17.67
P ₃ xP ₅	71.67	74.17	2.00	1.17	12.67	9.17
P ₃ xP ₆	85.00	77.50	1.17	2.33	9.33	14.50
P ₄ xP ₅	75.83	86.67	2.33	2.00	13.50	17.33
P ₄ xP ₆	64.17	55.00	2.67	2.25	9.50	10.25
P ₅ xP ₆	60.83	79.17	2.17	1.67	10.33	7.50
LSD 5%	10.98		1.67		5.83	

Table 4. Performance of parents and their crosses in F₁ generation of faba bean for studied traits

Genotypes	Seeds/plant		Seed yield/plant (g)		100-seed weight (g)	
P ₁ (Giza 843)	39.33		34.74		95.32	
P ₂ (Nubaria 1)	31.19		31.15		110.69	
P ₃ (Cairo 25)	30.77		22.55		87.65	
P ₄ (Cairo 5)	36.68		25.36		70.09	
P ₅ (Cairo 33)	25.00		18.83		95.83	
P ₆ (Misr 3)	29.59		23.24		80.36	
	F₁	Reciprocal	F₁	Reciprocal	F₁	Reciprocal
P ₁ xP ₂	40.75	24.83	32.08	28.25	78.54	120.63
P ₁ xP ₃	61.42	31.00	45.42	40.25	74.74	129.25
P ₁ xP ₄	38.75	49.50	25.83	42.83	66.04	85.22
P ₁ xP ₅	48.08	30.00	38.75	30.92	74.33	109.29
P ₁ xP ₆	40.33	15.67	35.00	16.67	88.82	123.10
P ₂ xP ₃	32.00	41.50	25.83	53.75	83.01	131.93
P ₂ xP ₄	28.17	20.67	25.33	24.75	88.28	123.65
P ₂ xP ₅	19.67	36.67	20.83	24.00	105.96	74.30
P ₂ xP ₆	40.00	30.67	50.00	34.25	125.16	115.18
P ₃ xP ₄	46.50	48.00	33.33	36.67	69.83	73.77
P ₃ xP ₅	34.17	23.33	26.17	16.42	75.98	68.34
P ₃ xP ₆	25.00	40.67	26.08	32.50	104.14	81.37
P ₄ xP ₅	38.67	44.83	27.50	30.67	72.19	68.46
P ₄ xP ₆	24.67	25.50	19.39	16.25	82.05	69.20
P ₅ xP ₆	27.33	22.50	25.67	17.08	94.04	74.26
LSD 5%		10.45		8.93		21.09

the results indicated that most crosses were significantly higher yielding than their better parents, suggesting the important role of non-additive gene action in the inheritance of studied traits. The results of the present study are in full agreement with those of Saad et al. [6].

El-Hady et al. [20] also suggested that the superiority of hybrids over the mid and better parents for seed yield is associated with the manifestations of the heterotic effects for yield component traits. Bishnoi et al. [3] reviewed that positive and significant heterosis over mid-parent and better parent is exhibited by F₁ faba bean hybrids for plant height, number of branches per plant, number of pods per plant and seeds per plant, seed yield and 100-seed weight which varied according to cross combinations and trait.

3.4 General Combining Ability

Estimate of general combining ability effects (gi) of each parent for all studied traits were presented in Table 7. Results showed that Giza 843 (P₁) was the best general combiner for all studied traits. While, Nubaria 1 (P₂) was the best general combiner for plant height, number of

branches/plant seed yield and 100-seed weight. Cairo 25 (P₃) was good general combiner for seeds/plant and seed yield/plant and Cairo 5(P₄) was good combiner for seeds/plant. Consequently, Giza 843 (P₁), Nubaria 1 (P₂) and Cairo 25 (P₃) which exhibited useful general combining ability effects that could be utilized in breeding programs to improve yield components. Therefore, the superior faba bean parents in their GCA effects (significant and positive) indicated that these parents are favorable for inclusion in the production of synthetic cultivars. These results are in accordance with those obtained by Darwish et al. [19], Abdalla et al. [5], Ashrei et al. [21] and Abdalla et al. [22].

3.5 Specific Combining Ability

Estimated specific combining ability effects (Sij) shown in Table 8 revealed that five, four, two, three, six and two crosses exhibited positive SCA effects for plant height, branches/plant, pod/plant, seeds/plant, seed yield/plant and 100-seed weight, respectively. As well as cross combination (P₂xP₆) showed desirable positive significant and highly significant SCA effects for all studied traits except for pods/plant. Three

Table 5. Heterosis (%) in F₁ over mid (MP) and better parents (BP) for studied traits

Crosses	Plant height (cm)		Branches/plant		Pods/plant	
	MP	BP	MP	BP	MP	BP
P ₁ xP ₂	27.12**	26.76**	0.33	-1.64	28.15**	15.29
P ₁ xP ₃	-10.41**	-11.99**	37.25**	14.75**	58.76**	46.36**
P ₁ xP ₄	9.49**	2.36	-17.14**	-28.96**	2.05	-0.84
P ₁ xP ₅	-16.64**	-16.77**	46.01**	12.02**	128.58**	120.08**
P ₁ xP ₆	-5.93*	-9.12**	37.22**	12.02**	9.82	-2.03
P ₂ xP ₃	-10.15**	-11.48**	-12.99**	-26.05**	13.44	10.45
P ₂ xP ₄	-20.97**	-25.91**	-15.20**	-26.05**	-19.10**	-25.28*
P ₂ xP ₅	-29.85**	-29.94**	-12.28**	-31.74**	-49.15	-55.76**
P ₂ xP ₆	-0.68	-3.78	50.89**	25.14**	13.17**	12.11
P ₃ xP ₄	-11.35**	-15.71**	10.32**	7.03	45.74**	38.04**
P ₃ xP ₅	-16.12**	-17.46**	8.70	-2.44	-5.61	-15.95*
P ₃ xP ₆	2.88	1.14	-41.37**	-43.09**	-17.80*	-20.70*
P ₄ xP ₅	-6.72*	-12.66**	22.48**	7.03	-4.36	-10.42
P ₄ xP ₆	-18.24**	-20.98**	29.76**	22.32**	-21.16**	-27.81**
P ₅ xP ₆	-27.59**	-29.94**	21.72**	12.26*	-20.54*	-31.43**
P ₂ xP ₁	7.45**	7.14*	0.33	-1.64	-34.93**	-41.46**
P ₃ xP ₁	7.12**	5.23*	76.47**	47.54**	-15.76*	-22.34*
P ₄ xP ₁	18.70**	10.97**	21.10**	3.83	18.04*	14.70
P ₅ xP ₁	1.57	1.40	56.70**	20.22**	-29.93**	-32.54**
P ₆ xP ₁	-13.85**	-16.77**	-19.68**	-34.43**	-45.09**	-51.02**
P ₃ xP ₂	-9.17**	-10.52**	60.64**	36.52**	11.26	8.33
P ₄ xP ₂	0.59	-5.71*	30.46**	13.77**	-41.04**	-45.54**
P ₅ xP ₂	-11.59**	-11.70**	-19.59**	-37.43**	11.87	-2.68
P ₆ xP ₂	5.28*	1.99	16.60**	-3.30	-21.53*	-22.27*
P ₄ xP ₃	14.72**	9.08**	33.96**	29.97**	41.73**	34.25**
P ₅ xP ₃	-13.19**	-14.58**	-36.59**	-43.09**	-31.69**	-39.17**
P ₆ xP ₃	-6.20*	-7.78**	17.25**	13.82**	27.70**	23.19*
P ₅ xP ₄	6.60**	-0.19	4.99	-8.26	22.80**	15.02
P ₆ xP ₄	-29.92**	-32.27**	9.49*	3.21	-14.94	-22.11*
P ₆ xP ₅	-5.77*	-8.83**	-6.37	-13.64*	-42.33**	-50.23**

* and ** indicate significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

crosses: (P₂xP₆), (P₃xP₄) and (P₄xP₅) exhibited positive SCA effects for seeds/plant and seed yield/plant.

It could be noted that the promising crosses which showed desirable SCA effects exhibited high heterosis values for studied traits. These promising crosses (P₁xP₃, P₂xP₆, P₃xP₄ and reciprocals P₃xP₂, P₆xP₃) could be used for production of faba bean synthetics to exploit heterosis.

The results also revealed that GCA effects, for some traits, were related to several SCA values of their corresponding crosses, where the three parents P₁, P₂ and P₃, which exhibited significant and positive GCA effects produced crosses, had positive and highly significant SCA effects for seed yield/plant. This may indicate, in such combinations, that additive and non-additive

genetic systems present in the crosses are acting in the same direction to maximize the characters in view [7]. These results are in agreement with Abdalla et al. [23], Attia et al. [24], Darwish et al. [19], Attia and Salem [9], El-Hady et al. [25,20], Algamdi [26] and Ibrhim [27]. They found that the best combinations as judged from SCA effects involved high x low combiners and the combinations involving the two best combiners did not exhibit SCA effects.

3.6 Reciprocals-crosses

The estimates of significant differences in reciprocal crosses for significant cases are presented in Table 9. Reciprocal differences point to the presence of maternal and/or cytoplasmic components. Their presence necessitates need for including reciprocal crosses in biometrical analysis.

Table 6. Heterosis (%) in F₁ over mid (MP) and better parents (BP) for studied traits

Crosses	Seeds /plant		Seed yield/plant (g)		100-seed weight (g)	
	MP	BP	MP	BP	MP	BP
P ₁ xP ₂	15.57**	3.61	-2.62	-7.65	-23.75**	-29.05**
P ₁ xP ₃	75.23**	56.16**	58.55**	30.73**	-18.31**	-21.59**
P ₁ xP ₄	1.96	-1.47	-14.03**	-25.64**	-20.15**	-30.72**
P ₁ xP ₅	49.49**	22.26**	44.67**	11.54*	-22.23**	-22.44**
P ₁ xP ₆	17.04**	2.55	20.73**	0.75	1.11	-6.82
P ₂ xP ₃	3.29	2.60	-3.79	-17.07**	-16.29**	-25.00**
P ₂ xP ₄	-17.00**	-23.21**	-10.34	-18.67**	-2.34	-20.25**
P ₂ xP ₅	-30.00**	-36.95**	-16.63**	-33.12**	2.61	-4.28
P ₂ xP ₆	31.62**	28.25**	83.86**	60.51**	31.02**	13.07**
P ₃ xP ₄	37.88**	26.77**	39.15**	31.44**	-11.47*	-20.33**
P ₃ xP ₅	22.53**	-6.85	26.47**	16.04*	-17.18**	-20.72**
P ₃ xP ₆	-17.16*	-31.84**	13.93*	12.23	23.97**	18.81**
P ₄ xP ₅	25.38**	5.42	24.46**	8.44	-12.98**	-24.67**
P ₄ xP ₆	-25.56**	-32.75**	-20.21**	-23.55**	9.08	2.11
P ₅ xP ₆	0.14	-30.50**	22.02**	10.44	6.75	-1.87
P ₂ xP ₁	-29.57**	-36.86**	-14.25**	-18.68**	17.11**	8.98*
P ₃ xP ₁	-11.55*	-21.18**	40.51**	15.86**	41.28**	35.59**
P ₄ xP ₁	30.25**	25.86**	42.54**	23.30**	3.04	-10.60*
P ₅ xP ₁	-6.73	-23.72**	15.43**	-11.01*	14.35**	14.05**
P ₆ xP ₁	-54.54**	-49.77**	-42.51**	-52.02**	40.14**	29.14**
P ₃ xP ₂	33.96**	13.14*	100.19**	72.55**	33.04**	19.19**
P ₄ xP ₂	-39.10**	-33.74**	-12.40*	-20.55**	36.80**	11.71**
P ₅ xP ₂	30.51**	17.56*	-3.96	-22.95**	-28.04**	-32.87**
P ₆ xP ₂	0.91	-16.39*	25.94**	9.95	20.58**	4.06
P ₄ xP ₃	42.33**	30.86**	53.06**	44.58**	-6.47	-15.84**
P ₅ xP ₃	-16.32*	-36.39**	-20.65*	-27.20**	-25.51**	-28.69**
P ₆ xP ₃	34.75**	10.87	41.95**	39.85**	-3.13	-7.16
P ₅ xP ₄	45.37**	22.23**	38.79**	20.93**	-17.48**	-28.57**
P ₆ xP ₄	-23.04**	-30.48**	-33.13**	-35.92**	-8.01	-13.89*
P ₆ xP ₅	-17.57*	-42.79**	-18.79**	-26.49**	-15.71**	-22.51**

* and ** indicate significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

Table 7. Estimates of the general combining ability effects (g_i) of parental lines in the F₁ crosses for studied traits

Genotypes	Plant height (cm)	Branches/plant	Pods/plant	Seeds /plant	Seed yield/plant (g)	100-seed weight (g)
GCA effects						
P ₁ (Giza 843)	7.23**	0.59**	1.97**	4.27**	4.39**	4.19*
P ₂ (Nubaria 1)	2.35*	0.25**	-1.80**	-2.54*	2.38**	14.81**
P ₃ (Cairo 25)	0.29	-0.06	0.72	3.11**	2.39**	-1.89
P ₄ (Cairo 5)	-2.54*	-0.10*	0.51	2.57*	-1.63	-12.62**
P ₅ (Cairo 33)	-3.13**	-0.44**	0.99	-2.71**	-4.76**	-6.79**
P ₆ (Misr 3)	-4.21**	-0.23**	-2.37**	-4.69**	-2.78**	2.31
S.E. for						
g _i	2.05	0.10	1.09	1.95	1.66	3.93
g _i -g _j	3.17	0.15	1.68	3.02	2.58	6.09

* and ** indicate significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

Table 8. Estimates of specific combining ability effects (Sij) of parental lines in the F₁ crosses for studied traits

Genotypes	Plant height (cm)	Branches/plant	Pods/plant	Seeds /plant	Seed yield/plant (g)	100-seed weight (g)
P ₁ xP ₂	12.47**	-0.41**	-0.83	-2.92	-6.01**	-10.27*
P ₁ xP ₃	-3.18	0.90**	0.15	4.85	6.65**	8.83
P ₁ xP ₄	8.41**	-0.39**	-0.35	3.31	2.17	-6.80
P ₁ xP ₅	-3.51	0.82**	5.92**	3.50	5.80**	3.55
P ₁ xP ₆	-7.01**	-0.22	-2.13	-5.56*	-5.17*	8.60
P ₂ xP ₃	-5.39*	0.32*	1.18	2.20	5.62*	3.69
P ₂ xP ₄	-6.72*	0.03	-2.99*	-9.59**	-5.11*	12.92*
P ₂ xP ₅	-10.30**	-0.46**	-1.30	-0.56	-4.61*	-8.75
P ₂ xP ₆	7.86**	0.66**	1.97	8.58**	13.13**	12.19*
P ₃ xP ₄	3.67	0.18	3.90**	7.59**	4.83*	-4.55
P ₃ xP ₅	-4.07	-0.48**	-3.57*	-5.63*	-5.74**	-10.02
P ₃ xP ₆	5.34*	-0.52**	0.79	0.43	0.28	1.48
P ₄ xP ₅	7.10**	0.14	1.13	7.91**	6.07**	-1.12
P ₄ xP ₆	-13.49**	0.23	-1.05	-6.78**	-7.17**	-4.92
P ₅ xP ₆	-2.49	0.02	-2.48	-1.66	-0.48	-2.23
S.E.for						
Sij	5.24	0.25	2.78	4.99	4.26	10.07
Sij-sik	7.09	0.34	3.76	9.00	5.76	13.62

* and ** indicate significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

Table 9. Estimates of reciprocal-cross differences of diallel cross for studied traits of F₁ generation

Genotypes	Plant height (cm)	Branches/plant	Pods/plant	Seeds /plant	Seed yield/plant (g)	100-seed weight (g)
P ₂ xP ₁	8.54**	0.00	3.96**	7.96**	1.92	-21.04**
P ₃ xP ₁	-7.50**	-0.50**	4.79**	15.21**	2.58	-27.25**
P ₄ xP ₁	-3.75	-0.50**	-1.08	-5.38*	-8.50**	-9.59
P ₅ xP ₁	-7.92**	-0.13	11.50**	9.04**	3.92	-17.48**
P ₆ xP ₁	3.33	0.71**	3.42*	12.33**	9.17**	-17.14**
P ₃ xP ₂	-0.42	-0.92**	0.13	-4.75	-13.96**	-24.46**
P ₄ xP ₂	-8.75**	-0.58**	1.33	3.75	0.29	-17.69**
P ₅ xP ₂	-7.92	0.08	-4.00**	-8.50**	-1.58	15.83**
P ₆ xP ₂	-2.50	0.42**	1.92	4.67	7.88**	4.99
P ₄ xP ₃	-10.42**	-0.25	0.25	-0.75	-1.67	-1.97
P ₅ xP ₃	-1.25	0.42**	1.75	5.42*	4.88*	3.82
P ₆ xP ₃	3.75	-0.58**	-2.58	-7.83**	-3.21	11.38*
P ₅ xP ₄	-5.42	0.17	-1.92	-3.08	-1.58	1.87
P ₆ xP ₄	4.58	0.21	-0.38	-0.42	1.57	6.43
P ₆ xP ₅	-9.17**	0.25	1.42	2.42	4.29	9.89
S.E.for						
Rij	5.49	0.26	2.91	5.22	4.46	10.55
Rij-Rik	7.76	0.37	4.12	7.39	6.31	14.91

* and ** indicate significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

There were valuable reciprocal-cross differences in the cross P₂ x P₁ (Nubaria 1 x Giza 843) for plant height, three crosses P₆ x P₁ (Misr 3 x Giza 843), P₆ x P₂ (Misr 3 x Nubaria 1) and P₅ x P₃ (Cairo 33 x Cairo 25) had highly significant positive reciprocal values for branches/plant. Four reciprocal-cross P₂ x P₁ (Nubaria 1 x Giza

843), P₃ x P₁ (Cairo 25 x Giza 843), P₅ x P₁ (Cairo 33 x Giza 843) P₆ x P₁ (Misr 3 x Giza 843) had highly significant positive reciprocal differences for pods/plant. On the other hand, five crosses P₂ x P₁ (Nubaria 1 x Giza 843), P₃ x P₁ (Cairo 25 x Giza 843), P₅ x P₁ (Cairo 33 x Giza 843), P₆ x P₁ (Misr 3 x Giza 843) and P₅ x

P₃ (Cairo 33 x Cairo 25) had highly significant positive reciprocal differences for seeds/plant. For seed yield/plant two crosses P₆ x P₁ (Misr 3 x Cairo 25) and P₅ x P₃ (Cairo 33 x Cairo 25) possessed highly significant positive reciprocal values. Concerning 100-seed only one reciprocal cross P₆ x P₃ (Misr 3 x Giza 843) had significant positive reciprocal differences. Such reciprocal differences will impose direction of the crossing in favor maternal and plasmon effects [8,10,22].

4. CONCLUSION

This study indicates superiority of F₁ hybrids over better parents. Unfortunately production of commercial F₁ hybrids varieties is not -until now- feasible due to instability of the available cytoplasmic male sterility sources. Therefore production of hybrid varieties should be recommended to faba bean breeders to obtain better yield. In hybrid manifestation could be exploited in faba bean by developing of synthetic varieties instead of commercial F₁ hybrid varieties. The development of F₁ hybrid variety needs two parents. However, developing synthetics needs employment of more than two parents. Number of parent components in a synthetic should be evaluated to obtain the highest yield from such synthetic. When a suitable and stable source of cytoplasmic male sterility is discovered in the future, such source could be used to develop commercial F₁ hybrid varieties.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Torres AM, Rom B, Avila CM, Satovic Z, Rubiales D, Sillero JC, Cubero JI, Moreno MT. Faba bean breeding for resistance against biotic stresses: Towards application of marker technology. *Euphytica*. 2006;147:67–80.
2. Pe'rez-de-Luque A, Eizenberg H, Grenz JH, Sillero JC, Avila C, Sauerborn J, Rubiales D. Broomrape management in faba bean. *Field Crops Res*. 2010;115: 319–328.
3. Bishnoi SK, Hooda JS, Yadav IS, Ravish Panchta. Advances in heterosis and hybrid breeding in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *Forage Res*. 2012;38:24-27.
4. Zeinab GE, Helal AG. Diallel analysis and separation of genetic variance components in eight faba bean genotypes. *Annals of Agric. Sci*. 2014;59:147-154.
5. Abdalla MMF, Shafik MM, Abd El-Mohsen MI, Abo-Hegazy SRE, Saleh Heba AMA. Investigation on faba beans, *Vicia faba* L. 36. Heterosis, inbreeding effects, GCA and SCA of diallel crosses of ssp Paucijuga and Eu-faba. *Journal of American Science*. 2015;11(6):1-7.
6. Saad E, Hussein Zaghloul, Atef Pasha Sirri. Assessment of heterosis and features of gene activity for yield and its components in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *Int. J. Agril. Sci*. 2015;5:676-681.
7. Abdalla MMF, Darwish DS, El-Hady MM, El-Harty EH. Investigation on faba beans (*Vicia faba* L.) 12-diallel crossed materials grown under cages. *Proceed. First Pl. Breed. Conf. December 4, (Giza). Egypt. J. Plant Breed*. 1999;3:213-229.
8. Abdalla MMF. Performance of F1 and F2 hybrids of *Vicia faba* L. *Egypt. J. Genet. Cytol*. 1977;6:108-121.
9. Attia, Sabah M, Manal M Salem. Analysis of yield and its components using diallel mating among five parents of faba bean. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed*. 2006;10(1):1-12.
10. Abdalla MMF, Shafik MM, Sabah M Attia, Hend A Ghannam. Investigations on faba bean, *Vicia faba* L. 26- Genetic analysis of earliness characters and yield components. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed*. 2011a;15(3):71-83.
11. Abdalla MMF, Shafik MM, El- Emam EAA, Abd El-Wahab MMH. Investigations on faba bean, *Vicia faba* L. 27. Performance and breeding parameters of six parents and their hybrids. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed*. 2011b;15(4):89-103.
12. Abdalla MMF, Shafik MM, El- Emam EAA, Abd El-Wahab MMH. Investigations on faba bean, *Vicia faba* L. 28. Performance of five parents, their diallel and reciprocal hybrids, heterosis and inbreeding effects. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed*. 2011c;15(5):1-24.
13. Griffing B. Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing system. *Aust. J. Biol. Sci*. 1956;9: 463.
14. Hayman BL. The theory and analysis of diallel crosses. *Genetics*. 1954a;39:789.
15. Hayman BL. The analysis of variance of diallel tables. *Biometrics*. 1954b;10:235.
16. Jinks JL. The analysis of continuous variation in a diallel cross of *Nicotiana*

- rustica varieties. Genetics. 1954;39:767-788.
17. El-Hady MM, Omar MA, Nasr SM, Samia A Mahmoud, El-Warakly MK. Performance of some faba bean genotypes along with F1 and F2 generations. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor. 1998;36(2):729–743.
 18. Attia Sabah M, Shalaby FH, El-Sayad ZS, El-Hady MM. Heterosis, inbreeding depression and combining ability in diallel cross of five faba bean genotypes. Ann. Agric. Sci., Moshtohor. 2001;39(1):53-64.
 19. Darwish DS, Abdalla MMF, El-Hady MM, EAA El-Emam. Investigations on faba beans, *Vicia faba* L. 19-Diallel and triallel matings using five parents. Proceed. Fourth Pl. Breed. Conf. Ismailia. Egypt. J. Plant Breed. 2005;9(1):197-208. (Special Issue)
 20. El-Hady MM, Rizk AMA, Omran MM, Ragheb SB. Genetic behaviour of some faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) genotypes and its crosses. Ann. Agric.Sci. 2007;45: 49-60.
 21. Ashrei AAM, Rabie EM, Fares WM, EL-Garhy AM, Abo Mostafa RA. Performance and analysis of F1 and F2 diallel crosses among six parents of faba bean. Egypt J. Plant Breed. 2014;18(1):125–137.
 22. Abdalla MMF, Shafik MM, Sabah M. Attia, Hend A Ghannam. Combining ability, heterosis and inbreeding effects in Faba Bean (*Vicia faba* L.). Journal of Experimental Agriculture International. 2017;15(5):1-13.
 23. Abdalla MMF, Darwish DS, El-Hady MM, El-Harty EH. Investigations on faba beans, *Vicia faba* L. F1 and F2 diallel hybrids with reciprocals among five parents. Egypt J. Plant Breed. 2001;5:155-179.
 24. Attia Sabah M, M Sh. Said, Zakia M. Ezzat, Kh. A Ali. Heterosis, combining ability and gene action in crosses among six faba bean genotypes. Egypt. J. Plant Breed. 2002;6(2):191-210.
 25. El-Hady MM, Olaa SMA, El-Galaly AM, Salem MM. Heterosis and combining ability analysis of some faba bean genotypes. J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ. 2006;32:134-148.
 26. Alghamdi SS. Heterosis and combining ability in a diallel cross of eight faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) genotypes. Asian J. Crop Sci. 2009;1(2):66-76.
 27. Ibrahim HM. Heterosis, combining ability and Components of Genetic Variance in Faba Bean (*Vicia faba* L.). JKAU Met. Environ. Arid Land Agric. Sci. 2010;21(1):35-50.

© 2017 Abdalla et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/19155>*