

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 36, Issue 10, Page 1-8, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.124024 ISSN: 2320-7035

Effects of Nitrogen and Phosphorus Application at Different Levels on Performance of Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) in Agroclimatic Zone-II of Himachal Pradesh, India

Komal Choudhary ^a, Rajendra Kumar ^{a*}, Perminder Singh Brar ^b, Shubham Thakur ^a and Samriti Chandel ^a

 ^a Department of Agronomy, MS Swaminathan School of Agriculture, Shoolini University of Biotechnology and Management Sciences, Solan (H.P.), India.
 ^b Department of Soil Science, MS Swaminathan School of Agriculture, Shoolini University of Biotechnology and Management Sciences, Solan (H.P.), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i105049

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/124024

> Received: 23/07/2024 Accepted: 27/09/2024 Published: 01/10/2024

Original Research Article

*Corresponding author: E-mail: rajdiwakar1990@gmail.com;

Cite as: Choudhary, Komal, Rajendra Kumar, Perminder Singh Brar, Shubham Thakur, and Samriti Chandel. 2024. "Effects of Nitrogen and Phosphorus Application at Different Levels on Performance of Pea (Pisum Sativum L.) in Agroclimatic Zone-II of Himachal Pradesh, India". International Journal of Plant & Soil Science 36 (10):1-8. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i105049.

ABSTRACT

During the *Rabi* season of 2023-24, a field experiment was carried out at Agriculture Farm Chamelti, Shoolini University of Biotechnology and Management Sciences Solan (H.P.). The soil in the experimental field was well-drained, with medium in organic carbon and available nitrogen, but high in available phosphorus and potassium. Four nitrogen levels *viz.*, N₀- Control, N₁- 15 kg ha⁻¹ + Nano urea (2 ml L⁻¹), N₂- 20 kg ha⁻¹, N₃- 25 kg ha⁻¹ and four levels of phosphorus *viz.*, P₀- Control, P₁- 20 kg ha⁻¹, P₂- 40 kg ha⁻¹ + Nano DAP (2 ml L⁻¹), P₃- 60 kg ha⁻¹ and the GS-10 variety of pea was tested in Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) with three replications. The experimental field results showed that applying nitrogen @ 25 kg ha⁻¹ (N₃) and phosphorus @ 60 kg ha⁻¹ (P₃) produced maximum growth parameters (plant height, number of branches plant⁻¹, number of leaves plant⁻¹, and number of grains pod⁻¹), and yield (grains, stover, and biological). Furthermore, it increased gross returns, net returns, and the B:C ratio when compared to other levels of nitrogen and phosphorus.

Keywords: Pea; nitrogen; phosphorus; growth; yield; economics.

1. INTRODUCTION

Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) is a major pulse and vegetable crop of cool season in India as well as world and it belongs to the Leguminosae family. There are two types of peas that are typically grown. Field pea (*Pisum sativum* L. var. arvense) is commonly used to make 'dal', while Garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L. var. hortense) is used as a green vegetable with wrinkled seeds and a sweet taste [1]. Garden peas are mostly used as a vegetable and additionally, it is consumed as a pulse. Garden peas are sometimes planted for feed and green manure, and their pods are occasionally fed to farm animals [2].

In the world basis, India ranks second to China in the production of pea. The annual global production of pea is around 21.77 million tons. China is the world's largest producer of green peas, with 12.2 million tons produced year (FAO 2022). In India, it is mainly grown in Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Punjab, Jharkhand, Himachal Pradesh, West Bengal, Chhattisgarh and Haryana. In India, it is having the area of 5,90,000 ha, production of 61,30,000 MT and productivity of 10.39 t ha⁻¹ [3]. Himachal Pradesh ranks fifth in India with production of 328.80 million tonnes of pea annually over an area of 26 thousand hectare [4].

In the early stages of growth, nitrogen fertilizers are critical for the development of leaves, stems, and other vegetative parts they also help to raise the protein content of peas [5]. Nitrogen promotes growth in the leaf, stem, and other vegetative tissues. It also increases the amount of protein in peas. It is an essential component of proteins and chlorophyll, as well as a variety of other compounds important to plant metabolism, such as enzymes, phosphatides, alkaloids, vitamins, hormones, and nucleotides. It gives plants a deep green hue, accelerates their early growth, and improves their ability to symbiotically fix nitrogen from the atmosphere. Lowering the amount of nitrogen supplied to legumes during their early stages is critical for a strong start [6]. However, adding phosphorus is equally critical for increasing growth and yield [5].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at Agriculture Farm Chamelti which lies in the heart of the Solan district of Himachal Pradesh. The soil of the experimental field was well drained and medium in organic carbon and available nitrogen while, high in phosphorus and potassium. The climate of this region is generally characterized as sub-humid, sub temperate with cool winters. The maximum temperature ranged from 1.07 to 28.23º C. The crop received 203.17 mm of rainfall which has been fairly distributed throughout the crop period. The recommended dose (100% NPK 20:60:20 kg ha⁻¹) as per the treatments was applied as a basal dose (at the time field preparation) during last ploughing. However, Nano Urea and Nano DAP were applied as a foliar spray at 70 DAS in respective treatments only. The crop was sown on dated 11th October 2024 at a spacing of 45 cm row to row and 10 cm from plant to plant. For taking observations from point of view, the five plants were randomly selected and tagged in each net plot area. The growth parameters were recorded from the five tagged plants in each treatment and then the average value was computed for consideration of final data. The plant height was measured from the tagged plants by using the meter scale from ground level to the tip of the plant and then the average value was used for final data. Total number of branches and leaves per plant (five tagged plants) was counted manually and then the average value was taken for final data. For dry matter accumulation five plants was harvested from the ground levels; thereafter, sun dried a fiew days and then oven dried at 65±5°C till a constant weight was achieved and finally the average value was used for representation of data in the Table. The yield attributing characteristics were recorded from the tagged plants and then the average value was computed for consideration of final data. At the time of harvesting, firstly the border row was removed from each plot and then net plot area was left over in the field to sun dry for 5 days. After sun drving, the biological vield of pea was measured in each plot (net plot area) by using the weighing balance and then grains were removed by manual threshing. Finally yield converted into q ha-1 by multiplying with appropriate conversion factor. The analysis of cost of cultivation is an important aspect which that decides the option for the growers to choose the treatment combination according to their investment capacity and production of crops. The total cost was calculated by adding the expenditure incurred in all kinds of operations as per treatment on per hectare basis in terms of Rs. ha⁻¹. The gross returns, net returns and B:C ratio were computed by using the formula given below:

Gross returns (Rs. ha⁻¹) = {Grain yield × Price} + {Stover yield × Price}

Net returns (Rs. ha⁻¹) = Gross returns - Cost of cultivation

B:C ratio = Net returns/Cost of cultivation

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Growth

Data pertaining to all growth characters is presented in Table 1 and revealed that different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus had significant differences. Among the nitrogen levels, (N_3) had the tallest plant height (56.9 cm), maximum number of branches plant⁻¹ (12.1), number of leaves plant⁻¹ (59.0), and dry matter accumulation g plant⁻¹ (20.27), followed by N₂ and N_1 , despite having the shortest plant height, minimum number of branches plant⁻¹, number of leaves plant⁻¹, and dry matter g plant⁻¹ in (N₀). These findings were closely observed by Dar et al. [7], Singh et al. [8], Ram et al. [9] and Gaharwar et al. [10].

Amongst the phosphorus levels, (P_3) had the tallest plant height (56.4 cm), which was statistically equivalent to (P_2) . The highest number of branches plant⁻¹ (11.6), number of leaves plant⁻¹ (58.5), and dry matter output g plant⁻¹ (20.58) of pea were recorded in (P₃) over the remaining Phosphorus levels. The shortest plant height, minimum number of branches plant , number of leaves plant⁻¹, and dry matter production (g plant⁻¹) were all recorded under (P_0) . This might be due to the nitrogen and phosphorus both are involved in chlorophyll synthesis, cell division, increased in cell size, photosynthetic rate and increased root growth of crop plant which expressed significant changes in the morphology. Phosphorus helps in root growth which extract sufficient amount of water from the deeper layer of soil and helps in the overall growth of the crop plant. Timely supplying moisture and additional application of sulfur (source of phosphorus was single super phosphate which has 12% sulfur) increased the photosynthetic rate. These results were closely related to Metwaly et al. [11], Singh et al. [12], Shamad et al. [13], Mandloi et al. [14] and Tenikecier et al. [15].

3.2 Yield Attributes

Data pertaining to yield attributing characteristics viz., pod length (cm), number of pods per plant, number of grains per pod and seed index (g) were significantly affected by nitrogen and phosphorus levels Table 2. Nitrogen levels (N₃) were shown to have a considerably higher maximum pod length (8.6 cm), number of pods plant⁻¹ (11.31), and number of grains pod⁻¹ (10.12) than the remaining nitrogen levels. Despite being the least pod length (7.00 cm), the lowest number of pods plant⁻¹ (8.87) and number of grains pod^{-1} (8.21) were reported under (N₀). Among the phosphorus levels, (P₃) had the highest pod length (8.6 cm), number of pods plant⁻¹ (11.25) and number of grains pod⁻¹ (10.00), followed by P_2 and P_1 , although (P_0) had the shortest pod length (6.9 cm), minimum number of pods plant⁻¹ (8.73), and number of grains pod⁻¹ (8.20). These results are closely related to Sharma et al. [16], Chauhan et al. [17], Dar et al. [7], Kumar et al. [18], Tehria et al. [19],

Das et al. [20], Singh et al. [8], Saket et al. (2017), Metwaly et al. [11], Shamad et al. [13] and Akarsh et al. (2023).

3.3 Yield and Harvest Index

Pea yield is the sum of physicochemical processes occurring in the plant that are influenced by environmental conditions and management approaches. The economic yield of pea depends on several factors, including pod length (cm), number of pods per plant, number of grains per pod, and seed index (g). Data on seed, stover, biological yield and harvest index of pea were affected by the application of different quantities of nitrogen and phosphorus and showed a significant difference (Table 3). The application of nitrogen (N₃) resulted in the highest grain yield (19.53 q ha⁻¹), followed by nitrogen (N_1) . However, the minimum grain production of 12.07 g ha⁻¹ was observed under control (N₀) during the course of examination. The application of nitrogen (N_3) , (N_1) , and (N_2) enhanced the grain yield by 61.08, 39.93, and 18.06%, respectively, as compared to the control (N₀). Among the nitrogen levels, fertilization with nitrogen (N₃) produced the highest stover production (28.19 q ha⁻¹), followed by (N_1) and (N_2) . However, the lowest stover yield (18.53 g ha⁻¹) was achieved under control (N₀). Amidst of the nitrogen levels, application of nitrogen (N_3) produced significantly highest biological yield (47.72 q ha⁻¹) of pea, followed by (N_1) and (N_2) . Though, the minimum biological yield of pea $(30.60 \text{ g ha}^{-1})$ was recorded under control (N_0) . The nitrogen application had no effect on harvest index of pea, which ranged from 39.44 to 40.92%. The maximum harvest index (40.92%) of pea was obtained with the application of nitrogen (N_3) , followed by (N_1) and (N_2) . However, without the application of nitrogen control (N₀), had a minimum harvest index with the value of 39.44%. The increased grain yield with an increase in nitrogen levels might be due to nitrogen is being essential for the synthesis of chlorophyll and amino acids, which enhances photosynthesis. This increased photosynthesis causes a greater buildup of photosynthates, resulting in better yields. Nitrogen also alters the source-to-sink connection, which promoting/enhanced transfer of photosynthates to the plant's reproductive regions. These results were closely related to Erman et al. (2008), Dar et al. [7], Chourasiya et al. (2023) and Yadav et al. (2023).

Among the phosphorus levels, the application of phosphorus (P_3) resulted in the highest grain

production of pea (19.24 g ha⁻¹) followed by (P_2). However, the minimum grain yield of pea was achieved under control (P₀). The application of phosphorus (P₃), (P₂) and (P₁) improved grain yield by 62.91, 40.22, and 27.94%, respectively compared to the control (P_0) . Among the phosphorus levels, fertilization with phosphorus (P₃) resulted in the highest stover production of pea (28.07 g ha⁻¹), followed by (P_2) 24.27 g ha⁻¹ and (P_1) 22.29 g ha⁻¹. The lowest stover yield (17.95 g ha⁻¹) of pea was achieved in control (P₀). Among the phosphorus levels, fertilization with phosphorus (P₃) produced significantly highest biological yield (47.31 q ha⁻¹) of pea, followed by (P_2) and (P_1) . However, the lowest biological yield of pea was reported under control (P_0) . The application of phosphorus at various levels had no effect on the harvest index, which ranged from 39.68 to 40.66%. Among the various phosphorus levels, (P_3) had the highest harvest index (40.66%), followed by (P_2) and (P_1) . However, the control (P_0) treatment, which received no phosphorus, had the lowest harvest index. Pea grain output increased as the phosphorus levels this may be due to that phosphorus helping in grain formation and additional supply of sulfur (indirect) enhance amino acid synthesis. Further addition of phosphorus helps root growth which increased extract moisture from the deeper layer of soil. Application of phosphorus through single super phosphate accumulates more amide substances and their translocation into reproductive organs of crop plants which influencing the growth and yield. These results were closely related to Sharma et al. [16], Kumar et al. [18], Dar et al. [7], Bhat et al. [21], Saket et al. (2017), Kanchan et al. (2018), Singh et al. (2020), Tripathi et al. (2020) and Khajuria et al. (2023).

3.4 Economic Studies

The cultivation costs for nitrogen and phosphorus ranged from 44981 to 45636 and 42090 to 47883 Rs. ha⁻¹, respectively. The highest cost of cultivation of pea was obtained with fertilization of nitrogen @ 15 kg ha⁻¹ + nano urea foliar spray (2ml L⁻¹) (N₁) and phosphorus @ 60 kg ha⁻¹ (P₃), as compared to all other levels of Nitrogen and Phosphorus. While the lowest cultivation cost was achieved under control (N₀ and P₀) [22,23]. Nitrogen fertilizer (N₃) resulted in the highest gross returns (Rs. 135414 ha⁻¹) for pea, followed by (N₁) and (N₂). However, the minimal gross returns (Rs. 84036 ha⁻¹) for pea were obtained under control (N₀). The net returns of pea ranged from Rs. 39055 to 90098 ha⁻¹ across nitrogen

levels. The application of nitrogen (N₃) fetched in the highest net returns for pea, followed by nitrogen (N₁) and nitrogen (N₂). However, the minimal net returns (Rs. 39055 ha⁻¹) were obtained under control (N₀). Yadav et al. (2012), Kumari et al. (2014) and Singh et al. (2016). Among the phosphorus levels, (P₃) gave the highest gross returns with a value of Rs. 133521 ha⁻¹, followed by (P₂) and (P₁). However, the minimum gross returns of pea (Rs. 82199 ha⁻¹) were obtained in treatment control (P₀). Different levels of phosphorus fertilization had a substantial effect on net returns, ranging from Rs. 40109 to 85638 ha⁻¹ [24,25]. Fertilization with phosphorus (P₃) had the highest net returns, followed by P₂ and P₁. However, the lowest net returns were observed under control (P₀). The B:C ratio of pea affected considerably during the research with nitrogen and phosphorus levels. Among the nitrogen and phosphorus levels, the application of nitrogen (N₃) and phosphorus (P₃) resulted in the highest B:C ratio, followed by N₁ and P₂. However, a minimum B:C ratio was observed under control (N₀ and P₀). Singh et al. (2012), Bhat et al. [21], Das et al. [20], Tehria et al. [19], Lal et al. (2022), Khajuria et al. (2023) and Singh et al. [16].

Table 1. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus le	evels on growth parameters of pea at harvest
---	--

Treatments	Growth parameters						
	Plant height (cm)	No. of branches plant ⁻¹	No. of leaves plant ⁻¹	Dry matter (g plant ⁻¹)			
Nitrogen levels							
N ₀ Control	49.55	10.36	53.18	18.28			
N ₁ 15 kg ha ⁻¹ + Nano urea foliar spray	55.02	11.39	56.60	19.69			
(2ml L ⁻¹)							
N ₂ 20 kg ha ⁻¹	53.38	10.69	55.79	19.35			
N₃ 25 kg ha⁻¹	56.95	12.10	59.03	20.27			
Sem ±	0.38	0.11	0.29	0.17			
CD (p = 0.05)	1.11	0.32	0.85	0.50			
Phosphorus level							
P ₀ Control	49.74	10.71	53.54	18.36			
P₁ 20 kg ha⁻¹	52.79	10.98	55.61	19.19			
P ₂ 40 kg ha ⁻¹ + Nano DAP foliar spray	55.95	11.20	56.92	19.46			
(2ml L ⁻¹)							
P₃ 60 kg ha⁻¹	56.43	11.65	58.52	20.58			
Sem ±	0.38	0.11	0.29	0.17			
CD (p =0.05)	1.11	0.32	0.85	0.50			
Interaction	Significant	Significant	Non-significant	Non-significant			

Table 2. Yield attributing characteristics of pea as influenced by different levels of Nitrogen and Phosphorus

Treatments	Pod length	Number of	Number of	Seed index	
	(cm)	pods plant ⁻¹	grains pod ⁻¹	(g)	
Nitrogen levels					
N ₀ Control	6.99	8.87	8.21	13.16	
N ₁ 15 kg ha ⁻¹ + Nano urea foliar	7.95	10.40	9.61	13.42	
spray (2ml L ⁻¹)					
N ₂ 20 kg ha ⁻¹	7.53	9.65	8.90	13.17	
N₃ 25 kg ha⁻¹	8.61	11.31	10.12	13.48	
Sem ±	0.09	0.14	0.11	0.15	
CD (p = 0.05)	0.27	0.41	0.33	NS	
Phosphorus levels					
P ₀ Control	6.94	8.73	8.20	13.11	
P₁ 20 kg ha⁻¹	7.55	9.84	9.17	13.28	
P ₂ 40 kg ha ⁻¹ + Nano DAP foliar	8.05	10.41	9.49	13.29	
spray (2ml L ⁻¹)					
P₃ 60 kg ha⁻¹	8.55	11.25	9.99	13.53	
Sem ±	0.09	0.14	0.11	0.15	
CD (p =0.05)	0.27	0.41	0.33	NS	
Interaction	Non-significant	Non-significant	Non-significant	Non-significant	

Treatments	Yield (q ha⁻¹)			Harvest Index (%)		
	Grain	Stover	Biological	,		
Nitrogen levels						
N ₀ Control	12.07	18.53	30.6	39.44		
N_1 15 kg ha ⁻¹ +Nano urea foliar	16.89	24.60	41.49	40.70		
spray (2ml L ⁻)						
N ₂ 20 kg ha ⁻¹	14.25	21.26	35.51	40.12		
N₃ 25 kg ha⁻¹	19.53	28.19	47.72	40.92		
Sem ±	0.32	0.95	1.09	0.91		
CD (p = 0.05)	0.94	2.77	3.17	NS		
Phosphorus levels						
P ₀ Control	11.81	17.95	29.76	39.68		
P ₁ 20 kg ha ⁻¹	15.11	22.29	37.40	40.40		
P ₂ 40 kg ha ⁻¹ + Nano DAP foliar	16.56	24.27	40.83	40.55		
spray (2ml L ⁻¹)						
P ₃ 60 kg ha ⁻¹	19.24	28.07	47.31	40.66		
Sem ±	0.32	0.95	1.09	0.91		
CD (p =0.05)	0.94	2.77	3.17	NS		
Interaction	Significant	Significant	Significant	Non-significant		

Table 3. Effect of Nitrogen and Phosphorus levels on yield (grain, stover, biological) and harvest index of pea

Table 4. Economics of pea as influenced by application of different levels of nitrogen and
phosphorus

Treatments	Economics (Rs. ha ⁻¹)			B:C ratio	
	Cost of Cultivation	Gross returns	Net returns		
	Nitroge	en levels			
N ₀ Control	44981	84,036	39055	0.86	
N1 15 kg ha ⁻¹ + Nano urea foliar spray (2ml L ⁻¹)	45636	117,172	71536	1.56	
N₂ 20 kg ha⁻¹	45249	99,020	53771	1.18	
N₃ 25 kg ha⁻¹	45316	135414	90098	1.98	
Sem ±	-	2208	2208	0.04	
CD (p = 0.05)	-	6409	6409	0.14	
Phosphorus levels					
P ₀ Control	42090	82199	40109	0.95	
P₁ 20 kg ha⁻¹	44021	104940	60919	1.38	
P ₂ 40 kg ha ⁻¹ + Nano DAP foliar spray (2ml L ⁻¹)	47188	114981	67793	1.43	
P₃ 60 kg ha⁻¹	47883	133521	85638	1.78	
Sem ±	-	2208	2208	0.04	
CD (p =0.05)	-	6409	6409	0.14	
Interaction	-	Significant	Significant	Significant	

4. CONCLUSION

On the basis of experimental findings, it can be concluded that application of nitrogen @ 25 kg ha⁻¹ (N₃) and phosphorus @ 60 kg ha⁻¹ (P₃) exhibited significantly maximum growth parameters (plant height, number of branches plant⁻¹, number of leaves plant⁻¹ and dry matter accumulation g plant⁻¹), yield attributing characteristics (pod length, number of pods plant⁻¹ and number of grains pod⁻¹) and yield (grain,

stover and biological). Besides, it also increases gross returns, net returns and the B:C ratio over other levels of nitrogen and phosphorus.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Joshi HN, Varma LR, More SG. Effects of organic nutrients in combination with biofertilizers on uptake N, P, K and yield of garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) CV. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2020;9:385-389.
- Phom AC, Kanaujia SP, Chaturvedi HP. Performance of various genotypes of pea under foothill condition of Nagaland. Annals of Plant and Soil Research. 2014; 16(4):285-288.
- Anonymous. Horticultural Statistics, 2021-2022. Agriculture Statistics at Glance. Directorate of Economics and Statistics. Government of India; 2021-22a. Available:https://desagri.gov.in/documentreport-category/agriculture-statistics-at-aglance/ (1.00 PM 1st August 2023).
- 4. Anonymous. (Department of Agriculture and Farmer Welfare); 2021-22b.
- Bunker RR, Narolia RK, Pareek PK, Nagar V, Kumar K, Chnaniya Omprakash. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and bio-fertilizers on growth and yield attributes of garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). International Journal Chemical Studies. 2018;6(4):1701-1704.
- Sammauria R, Yadav RS, Nagar KC. Performance of cluster bean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba*) as influenced by nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization and biofertilizers in Western Rajasthan. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2009;54(3):319-23.
- Dar IA, Mir AH, Megna Rashid MR, Nusrat Jan NJ. Effect of different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth and yield of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) PU-7. New Agriculturist. 2011;22(2):199-201.
- Singh L, Singh SB, Gupta D, Lal MU. Effect of irrigation and nitrogen on growth and yield of field pea varieties. Annals of Plant and Soil Research. 2015;17(1):96-9.
- Ram L, Jha AK, Patel SK, Kumar A, Kumar A. Response of vermicompost and levels of nitrogen on growth, yield and yield attributes in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) rhizosphere. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(9):976-81.
- 10. Gaharwar SS, Singh AK, Mondal BK. Effect of integrated nutrient management

on growth, yield and uptake of N, P, K and Zn in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2023;12(11):1757-1760.

- Metwaly EE. An attempt to mitigation of irrigation water deficit stress in pea (*Pisum* sativum, L) plants by phosphorus fertilizer. Journal of Plant Production. 2018;9(1):101-8.
- Singh SK, Tomar BS, Shivay YS, Joshi MA, Anand A, Prasad R, Kumari S. Effect of levels and sources of phosphorus on morpho-physiological, seed yield and quality parameters in garden pea (*Pisum sativum*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2018;63(4):477-81.
- Shamad A, Maurya KR, Devi B, Singh MK. Effect of phosphorus levels and Rhizobium culture on growth, yield and quality of early varieties of garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;8(4):196-9.
- 14. Mandloi R, Waskel S, Jatav SK, Tambi KN, Agashe DR. Varietal and phosphorus response on pod yield of pea. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020;10:213-22.
- Tenikecier HS, Ates E. Yield, some cell wall component and mineral contents of fodder pea (*Pisum sativum* ssp. arvense L. Poir) forage as influenced by cultivar, growth stages and phosphorus application. Journal of Elementology. 2021;26(2).
- Sharma AK, Singh SP, Sarma MK. Genetic variability, heritability and character association in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Crop Res. 2003;26:135-139.
- 17. Chauhan HS, Joshi SC, Rana DK. Response of vermicompost on growth and yield of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) cv. Arkel. Nature and Science. 2010;8(4):18-21.
- Kumar J. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur application on performance of vegetable pea (*Pisum sativum* I.) Cv. Pant matar-2. Legume Research-An International Journal. 2011;34(4):292-295.
- Tehria SK, Rana SS, Kumar S. Response of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) to levels of phosphorus in relation to integrated weed management. Himachal Journal of Agricultural Research. 2014;40(2): 118-25.
- 20. Das RA, Mandal RE, Chattopadhayay SB, Thapa UM. Synergistic influence of macro nutrient, micro nutrient and bio-fertilizer on root nodulation, growth and yield of garden

pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). The Bioscan. 2015;10(1):291-7.

- Bhat TA, Gupta M, Ganai MA, Ahanger RA, Bhat HA. Yield, soil health and nutrient utilization of field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) as affected by phosphorus and biofertilizers under subtropical conditions of Jammu. International Journal of Modern Plant and Animal Science. 2013;1(1):1-8.
- 22. Murade NB, Patil DB, Jagtap HD, More SM. Effect of spacing and fertilizer levels on growth and yield of urd bean. The Bioscan. 2014;9(4):1545-1547.
- 23. Rana C, Sharma A, Sharma KC, Mittal P, Sinha BN, Sharma VK, Chandel A, Thakur

H, Kaila V, Sharma P, Rana V. Stability analysis of garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) genotypes under North Western Himalayas using joint regression analysis and GGE biplots. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution. 2021;68:999-1010.

- 24. Mitran T, Meena RS, Lal R, Layek J, Kumar S, Datta R. Role of soil phosphorus on legume production. Legumes for soil health and sustainable management. 2018:487- 510.
- Tesfaye M, Liu J, Allan DL, Vance CP. Genomic and genetic control of phosphate stress in legumes. Plant Physiology. 2007;1;144(2):594-603.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/124024