



Diversity and Relative Abundance of Mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) within Human Habitat in the District of Thane, Maharashtra, India

Rozmina A. Kazi ^a and Shouriehebal Soni ^{b*}

^a *Ridwan B. Harris Junior College of Arts, Science and Commerce, Nalla Sopara -West 401203, Maharashtra, India.*

^b *Department of Zoology, SVKM's Mithibai College of Arts, Chauhan Institute of Science and Amrutben Jivanlal College of Commerce and Economics (Empowered Autonomous), Vile Parle - West Mumbai 400 056, Maharashtra, India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.56557/upjoz/2024/v45i154228>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/3709>

Original Research Article

Received: 02/05/2024
Accepted: 04/07/2024
Published: 08/07/2024

ABSTRACT

Mosquito's form an integral part of our ecosystem. They act as vector in transmitting diseases and weakening the human immune system. A large amount of the country's economy is expended in controlling the growth and spread of mosquitoes and the associated diseases. In the current study dead mosquitoes from different areas of Mira Bhayander Thane district were collected and identified using standard identification methods. The study was focused on the three genera *Aedes*, *Anopheles* and *Culex* as they are most commonly found in Mumbai and Thane region and are responsible for diseases like dengue, malaria, filariasis, etc which are currently on the rise. A total

*Corresponding author: Email: shouriehebal.soni@mithibai.ac.in;

Cite as: Kazi, Rozmina A., and Shouriehebal Soni. 2024. "Diversity and Relative Abundance of Mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) Within Human Habitat in the District of Thane, Maharashtra, India". *UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY* 45 (15):137-43. <https://doi.org/10.56557/upjoz/2024/v45i154228>.

of 1123 dead mosquitoes were collected and it was observed that the population of *Culex* was highest and *Anopheles* was the least. Relative abundance of species was also calculated which concluded that the *Aedes* and *Culex* mosquitoes were the dominant genera whereas the *Anopheles* was the subdominant genera. The present study will aid in limiting the spread of diseases by controlling the growth of *Culex* and other mosquitoes.

Keywords: *Aedes*; *anopheles*; *culex*; Mira Bhayander.

1. INTRODUCTION

Mosquitoes have bedeviled humans for centuries, spreading diseases and caused death to millions of people. Mosquitoes are chief vector that are accountable for causing diseases moreover their diversity and distribution determine the potency of disease transmission and also the ecological status of surrounding environment [1]. They act as pathogen vector that caused disease in humans and livestock similarly. However, mosquitoes also have an importance ecologically, not only are they efficient pollinators but the larva of mosquitoes serves as a source of food for variety of fishes and birds that feed on very small aquatic animals [2]. There are 112 genera of mosquitoes worldwide out of which only few bites and play a role in causing diseases in humans [3]. According to WHO, vector borne diseases are 17% of all the infectious diseases that are caused globally out of which approximately 7 lakh every year results in death [4]. *Aedes*, *Anopheles* and *Culex* genera are the most common and potential vectors for parasites that can cause various diseases like dengue, malaria, encephalitis, lymphatic filariasis and West Nile virus [5,6]. Mosquitoes not only play a role in transmitting various pathogens but also aid in suppressing the human immune system. As soon as the mosquito saliva enters to the human blood, it suppresses the production of cytokines in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells, thus helping the pathogen make us infected [7]. It also reduces IP10 which attracts macrophages, monocytes and dendritic cells [8]. Within the period of 7 days it also reduces neutrophils and myeloid cells that could suppress the growth of pathogens in the blood. Thus, adversely affecting the human immune system [9,10]. During Covid 19, people were strengthening their immune system in order to not get infected by the virus [11,12,13]. This could be the reason that the cases of mosquito borne diseases reduced during the late 2020's. There are several records of studies done to understand the diversity and abundance of mosquitoes and mosquito borne diseases worldwide as well as in India, but there is barely any study that represents the diversity

and abundance of mosquitoes in Maharashtra. The present work was an attempt to study the prevalence of three commonly found genera *Aedes*, *Culex* and *Anopheles* and the diseases concerned with them. According to 2011 census, Mira Bhayander has a population of approximately 8 lakh people [14]. As per the severity of this disease, the cases were expected to be quite high comparatively, with 877 cases of filariasis within a span of 6 months i.e. January to June 2020 [15]. According to WHO, at least 36 million people live with the manifestation of this disease [4]. Hydrocele and lymphodema are symptoms of filariasis [4] however, due to lack of awareness, the people are not aware of the gravity of disease and detailed symptoms or causes. The disease is not deadly but causes unnecessary expense to treat the symptoms that are increased because of negligence. The present study will help to understand the intensity of infection in areas of Mira Bhayander and to adapt methods for the spread of disease.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sampling Site

The study was conducted in Mira Bhayander which is located in Thane district, Maharashtra, India. It can be located using GPS co-ordinates 19° 17' 42.8388" N and 72°51' 15.8148" E. The study was carried out in four different locations i.e. Rai, Navgarh Road, MBMC Dumping site and Uttan of Mira Bhayander for collection of samples that were close to human habitat and also to places that could be the possible mosquito breeding sites.

2.2 Sampling Method and Identification

The collection of samples of mosquito started in the month of July 2022 and ended in December 2022, it included monsoon and winter seasons. Due to fluctuations in the temperature during the month of October, it was the most efficient time to study about the impact of temperature on the diversity of mosquitoes. The mosquito samples were collected by human landing method and mostly by light trap method using UV LED mosquito trap machine between dawn and dusk

i.e. between 8:00 pm to 7:00 am placed at restaurants and stalls. The trapped mosquitoes were collected in a vial and then taken to the laboratory of the Zoology Department of Bhavan's College (Autonomous), Andheri west, Mumbai for identification. The samples were then stored in formalin until it was further identified and sorted. The identification was done with the help of compound microscope and dissecting microscope based on standard taxonomic keys- A catalogue of Indian Mosquitoes [9]. The mosquitoes were first identified as male and female and then on the basis of their genera. The current work did not involve any breeding or collection of live mosquitoes, only dead mosquitoes were collected for the study.

2.3 Data Analysis

The relative abundance (RA) of mosquitoes [16] was calculated by using the formula given by Weiher and Keddy.

$$RA = \frac{1}{L} \times 100$$

• Where l is equal to the number of specimens collected of particular species and L is equal to the total number of specimens collected. Based on the values of RA, the specimens are considered as satellite ($RA < 1\%$); sub-dominant ($RA < 5\%$) and dominant species ($RA > 5\%$).

Shannon diversity index (H') and Simpson's diversity index (D) was calculated in order to find out diversity of mosquito in the district using Microsoft Excel Worksheet (Version 2016) [16].

Shannon diversity index (H);

$$H = - \sum_{i=1}^s p_i \ln p_i$$

Simpson diversity index (D);

$$D = \frac{N(N-1)}{\sum n(n-1)}$$

3. RESULTS

A total of 1123 mosquitoes were collected out of which 661 were identified as *Culex* (58.86%), 328 *Aedes* (29.2%), 23 *Anopheles* (2.05%) and 111 belong to other genera which were not considered for the study (9.88%). According to the theory of Weiher and Keddy, relative abundance was calculated as per which *Anopheles* was considered to be subdominant species with $RA = 2.04$, *Culex* and *Aedes* were

considered to be dominant species with RA equals 58.86 and 29.20 respectively. Thus within the duration of 6 months it was found that the genus *Culex* was the dominating species as compared to other disease causing mosquitoes.

As per the Simpson's index and the Shannon-Wiener index; *Anopheles* was found to be moderately diverse whereas *Culex* and *Aedes* was found to be highly diverse. The correlation between the two index.

4. DISCUSSION

India is inhabitant to over 393 species and 49 genera of mosquitoes. The present study was conducted between the month of July to December 2022 where *Aedes*, *Anopheles* and *Culex* were considered to be most important because of their potential ability in transmission of disease [15].

The present study supports the work of Sule [17] that shows the presence of 393 species of *Culex* which is much higher than that of other genera in Maharashtra. The present work also supports the reported 877 cases of filariasis from the month of January to June 2020 published in The Times of India [15]. Atallah, studied the diversity of species and relative abundance of *Anopheles*, *Aedes* and *Culex* species in Pakistan and found that *Culex* is dominating followed by *Aedes* over the others which supports the current research [16] Haq and Singh studied the species diversity of mosquitoes in Uttarakhand and found *Culex*, *Aedes* and *Anopheles* to be dominating over other species [18] supported by the work of Kumar who studied the same in Tamil Nadu and found similar results [19]. While studying the abundance of mosquitoes in Jalna [6], it was found that *Anopheles* genus to dominate whereas in the present study where *Culex* was found to be dominating followed by *Anopheles* genus. It was studied that the diversity of mosquitoes from Coimbatore region of Tamil Nadu and found 4 genera of mosquitoes to be predominant, out of which *Culex* was the leading one [20] which further supports our current study [21-25]. Our work is in sync with the research conducted at Nanded district of Maharashtra wherein *Culex* was found in abundance [2]. The breeding site of *Anopheles* mosquitoes is stagnant water in pond or lakes that are more than half inch in depth whereas the breeding sites of *Culex* and *Aedes* mosquitoes include small puddles or any places for water less than half inch deep [17].

Table 1. Diversity and Relative Abundance of Mosquitoes in Mira Bhayander of Thane District

	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 4	Total	RA (%)	Status
<i>Anopheles</i>	3	6	2	12	23	2.04	Sub dominant
<i>Aedes</i>	88	33	193	347	661	58.8	Dominant
<i>Culex</i>	63	7	9	249	328	29.2	Dominant
Others	25	11	5	70	111	9.88	Dominant
Total					1123		



Fig. 1. Top- female *Culex*; Bottom – male *Culex*




Fig. 2. Number of mosquitoes based on sites

The samples were collected from the places that were habitable by humans such as Rai (Site 1), Navgarh road (Site 2), MBMC Dumping ground (Site 3) and Uttan (Site 4). These sites shows an appropriate breeding place for *Culex* and *Aedes* mosquitoes [26-29]. It was observed that the number of mosquitoes were higher in the month of September in Nanded District of

Maharashtra [4]. A similar study was conducted in United Kingdoms which stated the similar results about the population of mosquitoes being highest in the month of September when the temperature of UK was the highest [19]. But for the present study it was found that the highest number of mosquitoes were found in the month of November and the lowest in the month of July

2022. Mosquitoes are poikilotherms and their temperature is essentially the same as their surroundings, thus they are not capable of regulating their body heat. Mosquitoes are most active at 80°F, become lethargic at 60°F, and cannot function below 50°F [20]. In tropical areas, mosquitoes are active throughout the year [30-34]. In temperate climates, adult mosquitoes of some species become inactive with the beginning of cool weather and enter hibernation to live through the winter states Central Mass Mosquito Control Project (CMMCP). The temperature in the district of Thane is been fluctuating throughout the year, thus the temperature range in the district was above 29°C in the month of November which is an ideal temperature for mosquitos to remain active and reproduce [5].

For the first time, extensive studies on diversity of mosquitoes in Mira Bhayander have been undertaken and it provides a raw data on the diversity of mosquitoes in this area.

5. CONCLUSION

From the present study it can be concluded that there is an increase in the population of *Culex* followed by *Aedes* mosquitoes which is closer to human habitats. With the increasing cases of mosquito borne diseases, there is a need to control the growth of mosquitoes before Filariosis and Dengue become endemic to the area and the city further on. There is also a need to reduce the breeding sites of mosquitoes growth and to increase the awareness regarding the disease and educate on the basic hygiene among the people. The government needs to take standard measures for the same and people need to be thoroughly educated on the matter. The diversity of mosquitoes not only depends on the climate but also on the temperature that they thrive in. They require warm temperatures of approximately 29- 30 °C to flourish well.

CONFERENCE DISCLAIMER

Some part of this manuscript was previously presented and published in the conference: An International Conference on Coastal and Marine Conservation CMC-2024 dated from 1st and 2nd March, 2024 in Mumbai, India. Web Link of the proceeding: <https://mithibai.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2024/02/CMC2024-CONFERENCE-brochure..pdf>

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the restaurant and stall owners who helped us in the collection of dead mosquitoes. We would also like to thank the Principle, Dr. Zarine Bhatena of Bhavan's College (Autonomous) for this opportunity and Dr. B. M. Gore Head of the Department of Zoology, Bhavan's College (Autonomous) for providing the laboratory facilities, support and guidance.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Beerntsen BT, James AA, Christensen BM. Microbiology and molecular biology reviews. 2000;64(1):115-137.
2. Ewing DA, Cobbold CA, Purse BV, Nunn MA, White SM. Modelling the effect of temperature on the seasonal population dynamics of temperate mosquitoes. Journal of theoretical biology. 2016;400: 65-79.
3. Prakash A, Jambulingam P, Rajavel A, Bhattacharyya D, Mahanta J, Mohapatra P. Faunal richness and the checklist of Indian mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). Check List. 2014;10(6):1342-1358.
4. Vector borne diseases World Health Organization; 2022. Available:<https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/vector-borne-diseases>
5. Dudhmal D, Chavan S, Chinchole D. Mosquito Diversity in a Filaria Endemic Village of Nanded District. Ecology and Fisheries. 2015;8(1):83-88.
6. Jaid EL, Nikam SV, More BV, Bhandari JC. Diversity of mosquitoes in Jalna urban, Maharashtra state, India. Journal of Experimental Sciences. 2011;2(4):11-12.
7. Babu S, Nutman TB. Immunology of lymphatic filariasis. Parasite immunology. 2014;36(8):338-346.

8. Vogt MB, Lahon A, Arya RP, Kneubehl AR, Clinton JLS, Paust S, Rico-Hesse R. Mosquito saliva alone has profound effects on the human immune system. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*. 2018;12(5): e0006439.
9. Tyagi BK, Munirathinam A, Venkatesh A. A catalogue of Indian mosquitoes. *International Journal of Mosquito Research*. 2015;2(2):50-97.
10. Schneider BS, Higgs S. The enhancement of arbovirus transmission and disease by mosquito saliva is associated with modulation of the host immune response. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 2008;102(5):400-408.
11. Khan SA, Webb CE, Kassim NFA. Prioritizing mosquito-borne diseases during and after the COVID-19 pandemic. *Western Pacific Surveillance and Response Journal: WPSAR*. 2021; 12(2):40.
12. Möhlmann TW, Wennergren U, Tälle M, Favia G, Damiani C, Bracchetti L, Koenraadt CJ. Community analysis of the abundance and diversity of mosquito species (Diptera: Culicidae) in three European countries at different latitudes. *Parasites & vectors*. 2017;10:1-2.
13. Norris DE. Mosquito-borne diseases as a consequence of land use change. *EcoHealth*. 2004;1(1):19-24.
14. Mira-Bhayander Municipal Corporation City Population Census; 2011. Available: <https://www.census2011.co.in/data/town/802786-mira-bhayander-maharashtra.html>
15. Maharashtra's Filaria Burden Rises, 877 Cases Recorded Till The Times of India; 2018. Available: <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/pune/maharashtras-filaria-burden-rises-877-cases-recorded-till-june/articleshow/6520729.cms>
16. Attaullah M, Gul S, Bibi D, Andaleeb A, Ilahi I, Siraj M, Ullah Z. Diversity, distribution and relative abundance of the mosquito fauna (Diptera: Culicidae) of Malakand and Dir Lower, Pakistan. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*. 2021;83.
17. Sule SR, Chavan RJ, Shinde LV, Khaire BS. Climate change, mosquito diversity and epidemics of mosquito borne diseases in India. *Journal of Global Biosciences*. 2021;10(3):8389- 8403.
18. Haq IU, Singh S. Diversity of Mosquito Fauna in different Habitats of District Dehradun, Uttarakhand. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2021;10(04): 583-589.
19. Kumar KR, Nattuthurai N. Diversity of mosquito fauna in three selected sites of athoor taluk, Dindigul district, TamilNadu. *Elixir Bio Diversity*. 2011;38:4057-4059.
20. Manimegalai K. Studies on the mosquito populations from Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India. *Journal of Threatened Taxa*. 2010; 961-969.
21. Hamza AM, Rayah EAE. A qualitative evidence of the breeding sites of *Anopheles arabiensis* Patton (Diptera: Culicidae) in and around Kassala town, eastern Sudan. *International journal of insect science*. 2016;8:IJIS-S40071.
22. Evans C. Mosquito Identification and Key Characteristics of Local Species. Bureau of Environmental Health Services Columbia, South Carolina; 2019.
23. Agyekum TP, Botwe PK, Arko-Mensah J, Issah I, Acquah AA, Hogarh JN, Fobil JN. A systematic review of the effects of temperature on *Anopheles* mosquito development and survival: implications for malaria control in a future warmer climate. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2021;18(14):7255.
24. Bashar K, Rahman MS, Nodi IJ, Howlader AJ. Species composition and habitat characterization of mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) larvae in semi-urban areas of Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Pathogens and Global health*. 2016;110(2):48-61.
25. Luo Q. A study on transmission of dengue virus by *Culex fatigans*. *Zhonghua liu Xing Bing xue za zhi= Zhonghua Liuxingbingxue Zazhi*. 1993;14(2):67-69.
26. Couper LI, Farner JE, Caldwell JM, Childs ML, Harris MJ, Kirk DG, Mordecai EA. How will mosquitoes adapt to climate warming?. *Elife*. 2021;10:e69630.
27. McBride CS, Baier F, Omondi AB, Spitzer SA, Lutomiah J, Sang R, Vosshall LB. Evolution of mosquito preference for humans linked to an odorant receptor. *Nature*. 2014;515(7526):222-227.
28. Nagpal BN, Sharma VN. Indian Anophelines. Oxford & IBH Publication CO. PVT. LTD.; 1995.
29. Rueda LM. Pictorial keys for the identification of mosquitoes (Diptera:

- Culicidae) associated with dengue virus transmission. Walter Reed Army Inst of Research Washington Dc Department of Entomology; 2004.
30. Lee H, Halverson S, Ezinwa N. Mosquito-borne diseases. Primary Care: Clinics in Office Practice. 2018;45(3):393-407.
 31. Andreadis TG. Identification guide to the mosquitoes of Connecticut (No. 966). Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station; 2005.
 32. Scheel MD, Eggleston KK, Achee NL, Grieco JP, Hapairai L. Mosquito control practices and perceptions: An analysis of economic stakeholders during the Zika epidemic in Belize, Central America. PLOS ONE. 2018;13(7).
 33. Amini M, Hanafi-Bojd AA, Aghapour AA, Chavshin AR. Larval habitats and species diversity of mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in West Azerbaijan Province, Northwestern Iran. BMC ecology. 2020; 20:1-11.
 34. Littig KS, Stojanovich CJ. Mosquitoes: Characteristics of Anophelines and Culicines; 2019.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/3709>