

Asian Research Journal of Agriculture

Volume 17, Issue 2, Page 206-211, 2024; Article no.ARJA.115790 ISSN: 2456-561X

## Assessment of Performance of Foliar Application of GA<sub>3</sub> and NAA on Growth and Yield Attributes of Onion (*Allium cepa*. L) cv. Nashik Red (N-53)

### Paratikshya Khadka <sup>a\*</sup>, Chintamani Paudel <sup>b</sup>, Keshab K Jha <sup>b</sup> and Anita Chauhan <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Dev Bhoomi Uttarakhand University, Chakrata Road, Manduwala, Uttarakhand 248007, India. <sup>b</sup> Baba Farid Institute of Technology, Chakrata Rd, Sudhowala, Uttarakhand 248007, India.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ARJA/2024/v17i2438

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/115790

**Original Research Article** 

Received: 13/02/2024 Accepted: 17/04/2024 Published: 20/04/2024

#### ABSTRACT

In order to ascertain the assessment of performance of foliar application of NAA and  $GA_3$  on growth and yield attributes of Onion (*Allium cepa*. L). A field trial was conducted at the Horticultural Research Farm of Baba Farid Institute of Technology (BFIT), Dehradun, India, 2021–2022. Significant differences in results were observed. With nine treatments and three replications of each growth regulator—NAA and  $GA_3$ —at various levels (50, 100, 150, and 200 parts per million—the research was set up in a Randomized Complete block design. The superior growth attributes like maximum plant height (47.38 cm) at 45 DAT, (51.01 cm) at 60 DAT and (54.04 cm) at 90 DAT, maximum number of leaves per plant (6.32) at 45 DAT and (8.84) at 60 DAT were recorded from the application of NAA 150 PPM (T<sub>3</sub>). The superior yield attributes like highest fresh weight of bulb

Asian Res. J. Agric., vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 206-211, 2024

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: khadkapratikshya57@gmail.com;

per plant (60.12 gm), bulb polar distance (4.75 cm) and bulb equatorial distance (5.45 cm) were recorded from the application of  $GA_3$  100 PPM ( $T_6$ ).

Keywords: Onion; NAA; GA3; growth; yield.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Onion (Allium cepa) is a herbaceous biennial crop commonly known as 'Pyaj' in Hindi. Because of the flavors released during tissue rupture, onions are one of the most widely utilized vegetables in the world, whether they are used as green leaves, fresh bulbs, or even as a powder in different condiments [1,2]. The sharpness in onion is due to small quantity quantity of volatile oil allyl propyl disulphides[3,4]. India is the largest producer of onion followed by China. India has produced 30,208 Million in the year 2022-2023. In India Tones approximately 90% of the nation's onion crop is produced in Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, Karnataka, Rajasthan, Bihar, Gujrat, Andra Pradesh, Haryana, West Bengal, and Uttar Pradesh [5].

Onion requires a balance of both organic and inorganic fertilizers in various stages according to the requirement for increase in production. Plants also need organic compounds in small amount other than mineral nutrinets to inhibit physiological response in plant such as formation of leaves and flowers, stem elongation, ripening of fruits etc [6]. The application PGRs has been effective in promoting the different growth and yield attributes in onion.Gibberelic acid is a important growth hormone that stimulates cell division or cell stimulation while A synthetic auxin called napthalene acetic acid facilitates fruit setting, flowering, leaf senescence. apical dominance, root initiation. and fruit absiccison.Research carried out globally showed that different concentrations of IAA, NAA, IBA, GA3, and 2, 4-D had remarkable effects on leaf production, plant height, number of flowers per umbel, umbel size, and onion seed quality [7]. The highest bulb weight was obtained with foliar application of Gibberelic acid at 200 ppm [8]. The application of Gibberelic acid at 100 ppm increase plant height, count of leaves per plant, bulb diameter and bulb length in onion [9]. NAA application at 50 ppm gave the highest bulb weight, bulb diameter and yield per hectare [10]. Therefore, the current investigation was carried out to study the assessement of foliar application of Napthalene acetic acid (NAA) and Gibberellic

 $acid(GA_3)$  on growth and yield attributes of onion cv. Nashik Red (N-53).

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **2.1 Experimental Details**

The field trial entitled. "Performance of Foliar Application of GA<sub>3</sub> and NAA on Growth and Yield Attributes of Onion (Allium cepa. L) cv. Nashik Red (N-53)" was conducted at Horticultural Research Farm, Baba Farid Institute of Technology, Dehradun during winter season 2021-2022.Geographically, durina the Horticultural Research Centre is situated in Doon valley which is 15 km away from the city of Dehradun. The research site is situated at 30.3373° N latitude and 77.9532° E longitudes and an elevation of 640m above msl .The soil sample was analyzed at the laboratory of the Department of Agriculture, Nanda ki Chowki, Dehradun. The soil is found to be sandy loam with pH 6.22 and EC 0.28 ds m<sup>-1</sup>. The experiment was laid out in Randomised Block Design with application of PGR which consisted of nine treatments and three replications.

Table 1. Descriptions of the treatments	Table 1.	Descri	ptions	of the	treatments
---	----------	--------	--------	--------	------------

S.N.	Treatments	Concentrations (ppm)
1.	Control(R.DF)	0 (T <sub>0</sub> )
2.	R.D.F+NAA	50 (T <sub>1</sub> )
3.	R.D.F+NAA	100 (T <sub>2</sub> )
4.	R.D.F+NAA	150 (T <sub>3</sub> )
5.	R.D.F+NAA	200 (T <sub>4</sub> )
6.	R.D.F+GA₃	50 (T <sub>5</sub> )
7.	$R.D.F+GA_3$	100 (T <sub>6</sub> )
8	$R.D.F+GA_3$	150 (T <sub>7</sub> )
9	$RD.F+GA_3$	200 (T <sub>8</sub> )

R.D.F=Recommended dose of fertilizers

#### **2.2 Agronomical Practices**

Generally, the suitable time for transplanting the onion seedlings in the Doon Valley is from the last week of November to January. The seeds of onion cultivar N-53 were sown in nursery beds during November and transplanted in January. The recommended dose of N:P: K of 150:50:80 kg/ha in the form of urea, DAP, and MOP was incorporated during different stages of crop production. The recommended dose of N:P: K of 150:50:80 kg/ha in the form of urea, DAP and MOP, During the basal application, 50 % of urea and 100 % of DAP and MOP were administered. Thirty days after transplantation, the last nitrogen dosage was administered. Different concentration of growth regulators as mentioned in Table 1 was applied through foliar application with the use of knap sack sprayer 30 days after transplanting. Intercultural operations such as hoeing, weeding, irrigation, and thinning were carried out according to the requirements and field conditions during the cultivation process. Three hand weeding was conducted during the cultivation. The data was collected 120 DAT and observation was carried out on 10 plants from each plot. In addition to the count of leaves each plant at 45, 60, and 90 days after planting, additional data included plant height (cm) at each of these times, Total fresh bulb weight (g), bulb polar diameter (cm), and bulb equatorial diameter (cm).

#### 2.3 Statistical Analysis

Data from observations were analyzed using ANOVA of 5% significance level [11]. The average value of each treatment in each parameter was tested differently using (DMRT) Duncan multiple range analysis on R-studio.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data collected from the current experiment were documented and thoroughly discussed below:

#### **3.1 Growth Attributes**

#### 3.1.1 Plant height

Foliar application of NAA and GA<sub>3</sub> at different concentration showed effect on all the vegetative parameters of onion cultivar. N-53. The maximum plant height 47.38cm ,51.01cm and 54.04 cm was noted with the application of NAA @150ppm followed by 47.25 cm, 50.53 cm and 53.17 with GA<sub>3</sub> @100ppm at 45,60 and 90 days respectively (Table 2). The treatment T<sub>1</sub> (50.37 cm), T<sub>5</sub> (50.36 cm) and T<sub>8</sub> (50.53 cm) were found statistically similar at 60 DAT. The least height was recorded in control (T<sub>0</sub>) was recorded 45.87 cm, 48.79 cm at 45 and 60 DAT. The height reported in T<sub>0</sub> is statistically similar to T<sub>2</sub> (45.88

cm),  $T_4$  (46.20 cm) and  $T_8$  (46.04 cm) at 45 DAT while plant height at 90 DAT was found to be NS.

#### 3.1.2 Number of leaves per plant

The number of leaves per plant increased significantly with the increasing crop growth duration. After 45 DAT, the maximum (6.32 cm) number of leaves was recorded in T<sub>3</sub> using NAA @ 150ppm followed by  $T_1$  (6.25 cm),  $T_4$  (6.04 cm) and  $T_5$  (6.04 cm) respectively at 45 DAT (Table 3). However, the minimum (5.78) number of leaves was recorded in control (T<sub>0</sub>) with only RDF at 45 DAT. The data recorded in both T<sub>4</sub> and  $T_5$  are statistically similar. In the case of numbers of leaves at 60DAT, the maximum (9.26) number of leaves was recorded at T<sub>3</sub> and at 90 DAT the maximum (13.16) number of leaves was recorded in  $T_3$ . While the least (7.94) number of leaves was observed from control  $(T_0)$ 7.94 cm (Table 2). At 60 DAT,  $T_1$  (8.84) and  $T_4$ (8.80),  $T_3$  (9.26) and  $T_8$  (9.22) are statistically similar. Furthermore, at 90 DAT, T<sub>0</sub> (10.89) and  $T_9$  (11.07),  $T_1$  (11.29) and  $T_2$  (11.54),  $T_4$  (12.63),  $T_7$  (12.56) and  $T_8$  (12.94) are statistically similar.

The results of the present experiment show congruence with the observations of Saleh et al. [12], Singh et al. [13] Singh [14], Gupta et al. [15], Bose et al. [10], and Meena et al. [16]. The enhancement of cell division and elongation in the meristematic zone may be the cause of the rise in onion growth parameters resulting from foliar application of NAA, such as plant height and leaves count per plant.

#### 3.1.3 Yield Parameters

The yield parameters such as Fresh bulb weight (g), bulb polar distance (cm) and bulb equatorial distance (cm) were also found to be significantly affected by the application of growth regulators at various concentrations as shown in Table 3. The highest (60.12 g) fresh weight of bulb was recorded in treatment T7 with the application of GA<sub>3</sub> @100ppm followed by T6 (59.99 g) and T3 (58.68 g) with the application of GA3 @50ppm and NAA @150ppm respectively. The lowest (50.90 g) bulb weight was recorded in control T0 as shown in Table 3. The treatment T2 (55.37 g), T3 (58.68 g) and T8 (58.34 g) were found to be significantly similar. The maximum (4.75 cm) Bulb polar distance was recorded in T7 (GA<sub>3</sub> 100 PPM) and the maximum (5.66 cm) bulb equatorial distance was also recorded in T7with the foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @100 ppm. The minimum (4.11 cm) bulb polar distance and

Treatments	PH (cm)			NOL (cm)		
	45 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	45 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT
T <sub>0</sub>	45.87 <sup>d</sup>	48.79 <sup>d</sup>	51.63	5.78 <sup>d</sup>	7.94 <sup>d</sup>	10.89 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>1</sub>	46.62 <sup>c</sup>	50.37 <sup>ab</sup>	52.38	6.25 <sup>ab</sup>	9.26ª	13.16ª
T <sub>2</sub>	45.88 <sup>d</sup>	49.44 <sup>cd</sup>	52.76	5.92 <sup>cd</sup>	8.46 <sup>bcd</sup>	11.54 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	47.38 <sup>a</sup>	51.01ª	54.04	6.32 <sup>a</sup>	8.84 <sup>ab</sup>	11.29 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	46.20 <sup>d</sup>	49.57°	51.54	6.04 <sup>abcd</sup>	8.80 <sup>ab</sup>	12.63 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	47.03 <sup>ab</sup>	50.36 <sup>ab</sup>	53.13	6.04 <sup>abcd</sup>	8.36 <sup>bcd</sup>	12.11 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	47.25 <sup>a</sup>	50.53 <sup>ab</sup>	53.17	6.00 <sup>bcd</sup>	8.71 <sup>abc</sup>	12.56 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	46.78 <sup>bc</sup>	50.01 <sup>bc</sup>	52.72	6.19 <sup>abc</sup>	9.22 <sup>a</sup>	12.94 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	46.04 <sup>d</sup>	50.07 <sup>bc</sup>	53.10	5.88 <sup>d</sup>	8.01 <sup>cd</sup>	11.07 <sup>d</sup>
LSD (0.05	0.373	0.679	NS	0.27	0.69	0.93
SEM (±)	0.038	0.0694		0.028	0.071	0.095
F-probability	>0.001	>0.001		>0.001	>0.001	>0.001
CV%	0.425	0.721		2.45	4.29	4.10
Grand Mean	46.56	50.01	52.71	6.04	8.62	12.02

Table 2. Assessment of Performance of foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> and NAA on onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv.N-53 plant height and number of leaves per plant

Note: Different letters in the same column represents significant difference using Duncan's Multiple Range test  $(P \le 0.05)$  and average was calculated from three replicates

# Table 3. Assessment of Performance of foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> and NAA on the: Fresh Bulb weight per plant(g), Bulb polar distance (cm) and Bulb equatorial distance (cm) of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv.N-53

Treatments	Fresh Bulb weight per plant (g)	Bulb polar diameter (cm)	Bulb equatorial diameter (cm)
T <sub>0</sub>	50.90 <sup>f</sup>	4.11 <sup>a</sup>	4.47 <sup>9</sup>
T <sub>1</sub>	55.37 <sup>d</sup>	4.18 <sup>a</sup>	4.86 <sup>f</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	58.43 <sup>b</sup>	4.21 <sup>a</sup>	4.96 <sup>ef</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	58.68 <sup>b</sup>	4.36 <sup>a</sup>	5.10 <sup>de</sup>
$T_4$	57.08°	4.60 <sup>a</sup>	5.15 <sup>cde</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	59.99ª	4.74 <sup>a</sup>	5.66 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	60.12ª	4.75 <sup>a</sup>	5.45 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	58.34 <sup>b</sup>	4.51ª	5.30 <sup>bcd</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	54.30 <sup>e</sup>	4.35ª	5.35 <sup>bc</sup>
LSD (0.05)	4.448	0.313	0.228
SEM (±)	0.454	0.032	0.023
F-probability	>0.001	0.01	>0.001
CV%	4.14	3.76	3.363
Grand Mean	57.022	4.42	5.14

Note: Different letters in the same column represents significant difference using Duncan's Multiple Range test  $(P \le 0.05)$  and average was calculated from three replicates

minimum (4.47 cm) bulb equatorial distance was recorded in T0 (control) with RDF. The bulb polar distance data in all treatment were found to be statistically similar, (Table 3). The result from the data was in conformity with Hye et al. [17], Tiwari et al. [18], Singh [14], Tyagi et al. [19], Patel et al. [9], Rashid [6], Islam et al. [20], Shukla et al. [21], Safdari et al. [22] and Bista et al. [2]. Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) was found to boost vegetative growth, yield and dry weight inducing rapid cell division and elongation causing increase in bulb size as reported by Islam et al. [17].

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The results of the study concluded that, at varying concentrations, both NAA and GA<sub>3</sub> had positive effects on onion growth and yield. While GA<sub>3</sub> @100ppm was shown to be considerably superior in terms of yield qualities like fresh bulb weight, polar diameter, and equatorial diameter,

NAA @ 150ppm was found to be significantly superior in growth attributes like plant height and number of leaves per plant.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Abbey,L and D.C. Joyee. Water deficit stress and soil. J. Veg. Crop Prod; 2004; 10:5-18.
- Bista D, Sapkota D, Paudel H, Adhikari G. Effect of Foliar Application of Growth Regulators on Growth and Yield of Onion (Allium cepa). Int. J. Hort. Sci. Technol. 2022;9(2):247-254 DOI: 10.22059/IJHST.2021.321019.451
- DA N. Note on effect of growth regulators on growth, yield and quality of kharif onion. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 1992;49(3): 267-269.
- Dwivedi B, Diwan G, Asati KP. Effect of plant growth regulators and their methods of application on growth of kharif onion (*Allium cepa* L.) Cv. Agrifound Dark Red. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2019;8 (09):1597-1610. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.809.1 83

- 5. Monthly Report Onion. Horticulture Statistics Division. New Delhi: Department of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmers Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture and farmers Welfare,Government of India; 2020.
- Rashid MHA. Effect of Sulphur and GA<sub>3</sub> on the growth and yield of onion. Progressive Agriculture. 2010;21(1-2):57-63.
- Nandekar DN, Sawarkar SD. Note on effect of growth regulators on growth, yield and quality of kharif onion. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 1992;49(3):267-269. Available:https://eurekamag.com/research/ 002/444/002444023.php
- Devi J, Singh J, Walia I. Effect of foliar application of Ga<sub>3</sub>and Naa on Onion – A. Plant Archives. 2018;18(2):1209-1214.
- Patel MJ, Patel HC, Chavda JC. Influence of Plant Growth Regulators and Their Application Methods on yield and quality of onion (*ALlium cepa* L.). Asian J.Hort. 2010;5(2)0:263-265.

- 10. Bose US, Bisen A, Sharm RK, Dongre R. Effect of micro nutrient along with growth regulator on growth and yield of onion. International Journal of Applied Agricultural Research. 2009;4(3): 267-271.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research (2nd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
- 12. Saleh MMS, Abed QJ. Effect of gibberellic acid and naphthalene acetic acid on growth, yield and quality of onion. Dirasat Journal. 1989;16(9):39-51.
- Singh L, Barholia AK, BAjpai R, Bhadauria NS, Singh VB. Response of Kharif Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) for Growth and Yield to Different Doses of Sulphur, GA<sub>3</sub> and NAA.Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci. 2019;8 (1):2362-2372. Doi: https://doi.org/10.20546/iicmas.2010.801.2

https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.801.2 48

- Singh M. Response of growth regulators on bulb yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2006;2(2):589-591.
- Gupta M, Rahman T, Ahmed Z, Kabir MH. Effect of nitrogen and naphthalene acetic acid on the growth and yield of summer. GSC Advanced Research and Reviews. 2022;10(01):166–176. DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/gscarr.2022. 10.1.0033
- Meena RK, Dhaka RS, Meena NK, Meena Sunil. E ffect of Foliar Application of NAA and GA3 on Growth and Yield of Okra. Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 2017;5 (2):1057-1062. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051. 2660

ISSN: 2320 – 7051

- Hye MA, Haque MS, Karim MA. Influence of growth regulators and their time of application on yield of onion. Pakistan Journal of Bio. Sci. 2002;5(10): 1021-1023.
- Tiwari RS, Agarwal A, Sengar SC. Effect of Bioregulators on Growth Bulb Yield, Quality and Storability of Onion cv. Pusa Red. Indian J. Plant Physiol. 2003;8(4): 411-413.
- Tyagi AK, Yadav SK. Effect of growth regulators on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. Pusa Red. Plant Archives. 2007;7(1):371-372
- 20. Islam S, Islam MO, Alam MN, Ali MK, Rahman MA. Effect of plant growth regulator on growth, yield and yield

Khadka et al.; Asian Res. J. Agric., vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 206-211, 2024; Article no.ARJA.115790

components of onion. Asian J. PL.Sci. 2007;6(5):849-853.

 Shukla N, Mondal S, Dikshit SN, Trived J, Tamrakar S, Sharma P. Effect of different concentration of GA Effect of Foliar Application of GA<sub>3</sub> and NAA on Onion – A Review 1213 and NAA and their methods of application on growth and yield of onion. Progressive Horticulture. 2010;42(1):225-230.

22. Safdari M, Dardar A, Khaniki GB. The Independent Effect of Time and Hormonal Concentration Treatments on Reproductive Traits in Onion. Indian J. of Fundamental and Applied Life Sciences. 2014;4(4): 3009-3015.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/115790